Population dynamics of insect pests and their natural enemies in rice seed bed ecosystem

A. SINGHA¹ AND S. CHATTERJEE²

¹Department of Environmental Science, Vidyasagar University, Paschim Medinipore-721 102, West Bengal, India. ²Rice Research Station, Chinsurah-712 102, Hooghly, West Bengal, India.

As a general principle, natural enemies consume the insect pests to sustain. Though the population densities of both the pests and natural enemies always vary, their proportional representation is generally sufficiently balanced in nature to make natural enemies as successful bio-control agents. Insecticides tend to disturb the natural equilibrium over a relatively long time (Anon., 1999). Being the staple food for more than 65% of the population, increased and sustained production of rice is fundamental to food security in India (Gour et al., 2003; Katti, 2008). Losses in grain yields due to insect pests have been estimated at 10-15 % (Krishnaiah et al., 2008). In several instances, a rich diversity of natural enemies has been reported in rice ecosystem. These natural enemies play an important role in keeping the population levels of major pests under EP (Equilibrium point) under normal conditions. Therefore, to avoid indiscriminate use of pesticides as well as associated residue problems, the natural prevalence of parasites and predators in rice seed bed should be encouraged towards eco-friendly pest management programme. Keeping this background in mind, the present investigation was undertaken to enumerate the role of naturally occurring parasites and predators in rice seed bed visà-vis their impact on BIPM (Bio-intensive pest management).

The investigation was conducted during kharif, 2008 to study the population dynamics of insect pests and their natural enemies in rice seed bed. The experimental site was located at the Rice Research Station, Chinsurah, Hooghly, West Bengal, situated at 88°24' E longitude and 22°52' N latitude with an altitude of 8.62 m above msl. Variety Swarna (MTU 7029) was sown during June 30, 2008 in the seedbed. Sweeping method was followed to collect and records insects, predators and parasites. A sweep net was used to sweep through rice herbage and each sweeping was completed with strokes. The collected insects on sweep net were transferred to killing bathe containing ethyl acetate (C₄H₈O₂) solution and kept for 30 minutes to kill them (Shrivastava, 2001). The killed insects thus collected were put on killing tray Short communication

and separated for identification *viz.* pests, predators and parasites. Population densities of individual group were recorded at weekly interval initiating from first week of July till first week of August. In total, five rounds of sweeping were made during the period of observation. Population ratio of pest : predator, pest : parasite and pest : natural enemy were worked out. Meteorological data pertaining to the period of observation were also recorded (Table 1).

Pest population

It can be seen from Table-2 that a total number of 199.50 pests belonging to 13 different types was recorded, of which rice thrips (*Baliothrips biformis* Bagnall) and grass hopper (*Heiroglyphus banian* Fabr.) were dominant. The highest of population (88.50) of rice thrips was followed by grasshopper (33.41) and white leaf hopper (30.60). Total population load of all the three pests was more during first week of July (53.00) and it gradually declined with the progress of time, which may be due to progressive build up of natural enemies.

Natural enemy population

It appeared that, in total, 113.67 numbers of predators were recorded belonging to 12 different groups (Table 3). Out of these, the populations of dominant predators viz. lynx spider (Oxyopes sp.), damsel fly (Agriocnemis pygmaea Rambur) and water bag (Mesovelia vittigera Horvath) were found 27.67, 19.33, 17.50, respectively. Highest (34.67) predator population was recorded during third week of July, followed by first week of August (25.50) and it was minimum during second week of July (15.50). So far parasite population is concerned, seven different types were recorded (26.83). Out of these, Telenomus rowani Gahan (10.50) and Tetrasticus schoenobii Ferriere (11.33) were found to be dominant (Table 4). Total parasite population was recorded maximum (10.00) during fourth week of July, followed by first week of August (25.50) while it was minimum (1.00) during first week of July. The findings of present authors are in conformity of the findings of Pasalu (2007) who also narrated the possibilities of native natural enemies in pest management.

Population ratio

Population ratio of pest: predator, pest : parasite and pest : natural enemy were 1.76: 1.00, 7.44: 1.00 and 1.00: 1.21, respectively. Katti (2007) advocated for regular scouting to observe the presence of common natural enemies like spiders, dragon flies, damsel flies, coccinellids, ground beetles and their numbers *vis-à-vis* pest population, and suggested an optimum ratio of 2: 1 while taking up any pest management decisions.

The results, thus, inflicted an idea regarding the population ratio of pests and their naturally occurring bio-control agents (parasities and predators), which would be meaningful to chalk out a successful eco-friendly pest management programme in the rice seed bed.

REFERENCES

Anonymous 1999. Principles of integrated pest control. *Pesticides Information*: 12-47.

Gour, T. B., Ratnasudhakar, T. Ramesh Babu, T. Rao, K., Chandrasekhar, Rao, A. Prasad. 2003. *Rice – Insect pests, diseases, nutritional disorders.* Information Bulletin-1. Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad ,pp.1-60.

- Katti, G. 2007 . Biological control of insect pests of rice. In: Training manual – *Integrated pest* management in rice Sept. 03-07, Directorate of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad:148-155.
- Katti, G. 2008 . Insect pests of rice in India. In: Hands on refresher course on rice entomology, Sept. 8-12, Directorate of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, pp. 1-6.
- Krishnaiah, N. V., Lakshmi, V. Jhansi, Pasalu, I. C., Katti, G. R. and Padmavathi, Ch. 2008 . *Insecticides in rice IPM - Past, present and future.* Tech. Bull.-30, Directorate of Rice Research, Hyderabad, A.P., pp. 146.
- Pasalu, I. C. 2007 Advances in IPM technology in rice. In: *Training manual – Integrated pest management in rice* Sept. 03-07, Directorate of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad,pp. 140-147.
- Shrivastava, K.P. 2001. A text book of applied entomology. Vol. II. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi. pp. 507.

Week	Temperature(⁰ C)		Rainfall	Relative hu	Sunshine	
	Max.	Min.	(mm)	7.00 LMT	14.00	hours
					LMT	
July 1 st wk.	31.2	26.6	10.0	95.0	87.0	0.833
July 2 nd wk.	30.5	26.3	11.7	95.3	83.8	3.675
July 3 rd wk.	31.7	25.6	10.7	95.6	84.8	1.225
July 4 th wk.	32.3	26.8	6.8	94.8	79.1	3.667
Aug. 1 st wk.	32.4	26.3	3.8	93.7	77.7	7.365

Table 1. Meteorological data pertaining to the period of experimentation

YSB RH Week WM LF CW RT SB RB WLH GLH ZLH BPH GH July 1st wk. 2 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 35.0 0.0 0.00 2.0 7.00 0.00 0.00 7.00 July 2nd wk. 1 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 31.0 1.5 0.00 5.0 3.00 0.00 1.00 5.00 July 3rd wk. 0 2.00 0.00 0.33 0.00 20.0 2.0 0.00 0.33 9.66 6.6 0.33 1.66 July 4th wk. 0 4.25 0.25 0.00 0.25 2.5 0.0 0.25 13.5 4.00 1.50 0.25 6.25 Aug. 1st wk. 1.00 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.0 1.00 3.5 4.50 2.50 5.50 1 0.50 Total 8.75 0.75 4 1.83 0.75 88.5 3.5 1.25 30.6 18.83 4.33 3.41 33.41

Table 2. Pest population in rice seed bed during kharif (2008) at Chinsurah, West Bengal

YSB: Yellow stem borer (Scirpophaga incertulas);

RH : Rice hispa (Dicladispa armigera);

CW: Cut worm (*Mythimna separate*);

SB: Seed bug (Scotinophora sp.);

WLH: White leaf hopper (Cofona spectra);

ZLH: Zig-zag leaf hopper (Recelia dorsalis);

GH: Grass hopper (Heiroglyphus banian).

WM: Whorl maggot (Hydrellia sp.);

LF: Leaf folder (Cnaphalocrocis medinalis); RT: Rice thrips (Baliothrips biformis); RB: Red bug; . GLH: Green leaf hopper (Nephotettix sp.); .

BPH: Brown plant hopper (Nilaparvata lugens);

Table 2 Devesite nonulati	on in rice coedhed	during the wif (200	at Chingungh	West Dongol
Table 3. Parasite populati	on in fice seeubeu	uui ing <i>knui ij</i> (200	o) at Chinsul an	, west bengai

Week	TS	TR	СМ	IP	СН	ХР	OP	Total
July 1 st wk.	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.00
July 2 nd wk.	3.00	1.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.50
July 3 rd wk.	4.33	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.33
July 4 th wk.	2.50	3.5	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	10.00
Aug. 1 st wk.	1.50	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.00
Total	11.33	10.5	3.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	26.83

TS: Tetrastichus schoenobii;

CM: Copidosmopsis nacoleiae;

CH: Charops brachypterum;

TR: Telenomus rowani;

IP: Itoplectis narangae;

XP: Xanthopimpla flavolimeata; OP: Opius sp.

Table 4. Predator	nonulation in	rice seedbed	l during	kharif (200	18) at	Chinsurah	West Rengal
	population m	The secure	i uui iiig	nnun ij (200	,0) ai	Chinisul ang	, west beingar

Week	LB	GB	CR	LHG	WB	DF	WS	LS	JS	DS	OS	LJS	Total
July 1 st wk.	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	6.0	3.00	1.00	2.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	2.0	18.00
July 2 nd wk.	1.50	2.50	0.50	2.00	1.5	3.00	0.00	2.50	0.0	0.00	0.0	1.5	15.50
July 3 rd wk.	2.33	1.67	1.33	1.33	7.0	1.33	4.33	10.67	1.0	1.67	0.0	2.0	34.67
July 4 th wk.	0.00	0.50	1.50	1.00	0.0	6.50	3.00	5.50	0.5	0.00	0.5	1.0	20.00
Aug. 1 st wk.	3.50	1.50	0.50	2.00	3.0	5.50	2.50	7.00	0.0	0.50	0.0	0.0	25.50
Total	7.33	8.17	3.83	8.33	17.5	19.33	10.83	27.67	2.0	2.17	0.5	6.5	113.67

LB: Lady bird beetle (Micraspis sp.);

CR: Cricket (Metioche vittatieallis); WB: Water bug (Mesovelia vittigera);

JS: Jumping spider (Phidippus sp.);

OS: Orb spider (Argiope sp.);

GB: Ground beetle or bottle insect (Ophionia nigrofasciata); LHG: Long-horned grasshopper (*Conocephalus longipennis*);

DF: Damsel fly (Agriocnemis pygmaea);

WS: Wolf spider (Lycosa pseudoannulata); LS: Lynx spider (Oxyopes sp.);

DS: Dwarf spider (Atypena formosana);

LJS: Long-jawed spider (Tetragnatha maxillosa).