

# Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on rapeseed (*Brassica campestris L.*) under terai region.

B. DE, A.C. SINHA AND P. S. PATRA

Department of Agronomy,  
Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya,  
Pundibari-736165, Cooch Behar, West Bengal

Rapeseed is one of the most important edible oilseed crops of the Indo-Gangetic plains, however, the production of rapeseed is poor due to erratic management practices and climatic condition. Nutrient management is the key component technology towards the production of rapeseed. A substitution and/or supplementation of major nutrients with a considerable proportion from organic manures or in combination for sustaining of high level of production, is of urgent necessity.

The experiment was conducted at the research farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal during the *rabi* season of 2007-08. The farm is situated at 26°19'86'' N latitude and 89°23'53'' E longitude at an elevation of 43 meters above mean sea level. The area as a whole is humid and warm except having a short winter spell during December to February. The soil is sandy loam, acidic with a pH of 5.42, low in available nitrogen (118 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potash (76 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Twelve treatments *viz.* T<sub>1</sub>:100% RDF (60:30:30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N: P: K), T<sub>2</sub>:100% RDF + Borax @ 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub>:FYM @ 10.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub>:Vermicompost @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub>:Neemcake @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>:Poultry manure @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ FYM @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>9</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Neemcake @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>10</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Poultry manure @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>11</sub>:50% RDF+ FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>+ VC @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>+ NC @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>+ PM @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>12</sub>:control were laid out in RBD with three replications. Rapeseed cultivar "B-9" was sown with the spacing of 30 x 10 cm. Data were recorded on plant height, leaf area index, crop growth rate, net assimilation rate, number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup>, length of siliqua, number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup>, test weight and seed yield. The data were analysed statistically for comparing the treatment means.

## Plant height

Treatment receiving 50% RDF+ FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + neemcake @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + poultry manure @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the tallest plant (147.18 cm) at harvest which was statistically *at par* with T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>, might be due to

greater availability of soil nutrients through out the growth period. Sharma *et al.* (2007) and Kumar and Yadav (2007) also investigated similar trend of result by combination of organics and inorganics sources of nutrients.

## Leaf area index (LAI)

Treatment receiving 50% RDF+ FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + neemcake @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + poultry manure @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest value of LAI (1.88) at 50 days after sowing which was statistically *at par* with T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>10</sub>, might be due to synchronous supply of plant nutrients throughout the growth period.

## Crop growth rate (g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>)

Treatment receiving 50% recommended dose+ farmyard manure @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + neemcake @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + poultry manure @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest value (4.32 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) followed T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>.

## Net assimilation rate (NAR)

At 30-40 days after sowing, T<sub>7</sub> (1.018 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest value of net assimilation rate which was followed by T<sub>2</sub> (1.02 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (0.93 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). At 40-50 days after sowing T<sub>11</sub> gave the highest value (1.14 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) of NAR which was followed by T<sub>9</sub> (1.13 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> (1.11 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>).

## Number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup>

Treatments receiving 50% RDF+ FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + neemcake @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + poultry manure @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded highest number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> (209.66) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (186.66). Sole application of chemical fertilizer recorded lower number of siliqua than the other treatments except T<sub>2</sub> (Table 1). Singh and Singh (2006) opined alike.

## Length of siliqua

The length of siliqua was found to be highest (7.20 cm) with T<sub>11</sub> (50% recommended dose+ farmyard manure @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + neemcake @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + poultry manure @

**Table 1: Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on growth attributes of rapeseed.**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Leaf area index			Crop growth rate (g m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )				Net assimilation rate (g m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )			
	80 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30-40 DAS	40-50 DAS	50-60 DAS	60-70 DAS	30-40 DAS	40-50 DAS	50-60 DAS	60-70 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	128.08	129.02	131.24	0.50	1.06	1.62	1.54	2.22	1.90	0.67	0.90	0.73	0.30	0.07
T <sub>2</sub>	128.91	129.92	131.61	0.51	1.07	1.63	1.77	2.30	2.69	0.45	1.01	0.75	0.43	0.04
T <sub>3</sub>	117.50	120.48	125.27	0.45	0.86	1.27	0.93	1.07	0.18	0.44	0.63	0.44	0.03	0.05
T <sub>4</sub>	127.00	128.65	130.95	0.50	1.01	1.53	1.40	2.35	1.04	0.62	0.84	0.81	0.17	0.06
T <sub>5</sub>	123.50	126.32	130.16	0.47	1.00	1.53	1.18	1.52	0.21	1.33	0.72	0.52	0.03	0.15
T <sub>6</sub>	119.50	122.51	128.33	0.46	0.98	1.51	1.01	1.32	0.27	1.55	0.63	0.46	0.04	0.17
T <sub>7</sub>	129.33	130.03	133.33	0.53	1.08	1.63	1.82	2.24	3.06	0.47	1.01	0.72	0.46	0.04
T <sub>8</sub>	130.00	137.33	140.12	0.56	1.20	1.84	1.81	3.84	4.10	0.54	0.93	1.11	0.58	0.05
T <sub>9</sub>	130.33	139.00	143.39	0.56	1.21	1.86	1.75	3.97	4.05	0.32	0.89	1.13	0.57	0.03
T <sub>10</sub>	129.58	131.53	135.55	0.56	1.19	1.82	1.50	3.11	4.09	0.14	0.78	0.90	0.58	0.01
T <sub>11</sub>	134.74	141.13	147.18	0.58	1.23	1.88	1.63	4.02	4.32	1.82	0.81	1.14	0.60	0.16
T <sub>12</sub>	107.58	116.50	119.51	0.16	0.36	0.56	0.41	0.49	1.13	0.24	0.72	0.48	0.51	0.07
<b>SEm ( ± )</b>	<b>4.99</b>	<b>3.29</b>	<b>7.20</b>	<b>0.007</b>	<b>0.014</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.072</b>	<b>0.165</b>	<b>0.307</b>	<b>0.047</b>	<b>0.045</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.005</b>
<b>LSD (p=0.05)</b>	<b>10.35</b>	<b>6.84</b>	<b>14.94</b>	<b>0.013</b>	<b>0.029</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>0.099</b>	<b>0.094</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.01</b>

T<sub>1</sub>:100% RDF (60:30:30 Kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> of N: P: K),  
T<sub>4</sub>:Vermicompost @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>7</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ FYM @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>10</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Poultry manure @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>12</sub>:Control.

T<sub>2</sub>:100% RDF + Borax @ 10.0 Kg/ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>5</sub>:Neemcake @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>8</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>11</sub>:50% RDF+ FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + VC @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + NC @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + PM @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,

T<sub>3</sub>:FYM @ 10.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>6</sub>:Poultry manure @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>9</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Neemcake @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,

**Table 2: Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on yield attributes of rapeseed.**

Treatments	Seed yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Siliqua. plant <sup>-1</sup>	Length of siliqua (cm)	Number of seeds. siliqua <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)
T <sub>1</sub>	4.02	143.33	5.83	17.00	2.55
T <sub>2</sub>	4.98	163.33	6.06	17.66	2.56
T <sub>3</sub>	2.46	115.66	5.26	14.66	2.37
T <sub>4</sub>	3.99	125.66	5.36	16.00	2.53
T <sub>5</sub>	3.97	119.66	4.86	14.00	2.52
T <sub>6</sub>	3.83	116.66	4.86	13.66	2.51
T <sub>7</sub>	5.48	166.33	6.10	18.66	2.60
T <sub>8</sub>	6.03	168.66	6.73	22.00	2.90
T <sub>9</sub>	6.51	186.66	6.80	22.66	2.96
T <sub>10</sub>	5.57	167.00	6.76	21.00	2.76
T <sub>11</sub>	7.36	209.66	7.20	23.33	3.07
T <sub>12</sub>	1.92	90.00	3.46	13.33	2.03
<b>SEm ( ± )</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>7.41</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>0.22</b>
<b>LSD (p=0.05)</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>15.37</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>5.52</b>	<b>0.46</b>

T<sub>1</sub>:100% RDF (60:30:30 Kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> of N: P: K),  
T<sub>4</sub>:Vermicompost @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>7</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ FYM @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>10</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Poultry manure @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>12</sub>:Control.

T<sub>2</sub>:100% RDF + Borax @ 10.0 Kg/ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>5</sub>:Neemcake @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>8</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,

T<sub>11</sub>:50% RDF+ FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + VC @1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + NC @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + PM @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,

T<sub>3</sub>:FYM @ 10.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>6</sub>:Poultry manure @ 5.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
T<sub>9</sub>:T<sub>1</sub>+ Neemcake @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>,

1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub> (T<sub>1</sub>+ Neemcake @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>10</sub> (T<sub>1</sub>+ Poultry manure @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) & T<sub>8</sub> (T<sub>1</sub>+ vermicompost @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest in T<sub>12</sub> (table 1).

#### Number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup>

The Highest number of seeds per siliqua (23.33) was recorded with T<sub>11</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub> followed by T<sub>8</sub> and the lowest with T<sub>12</sub> (Table 1). Sole application of chemical fertilizer recorded lower number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> These results are in conformity with Singh and Singh (2006).

#### Test weight

Treatments receiving 50% RDF+ FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + neemcake @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + poultry manure @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest test weight value (3.07 gm) followed by T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> and the lowest was in T<sub>12</sub> (Table 1).

#### Seed yield

Seed yield was recorded to be highest (7.36 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) under T<sub>11</sub> (50% RDF + FYM @ 2.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + neemcake @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> + poultry manure @ 1.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically *at par* with T<sub>9</sub> (6.51 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>8</sub> (6.03 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>10</sub> (5.57 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest was recorded in T<sub>12</sub> (1.92 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). This might be due to higher number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> and higher test weight (Table 2). These results confirm the findings of Abrol *et al.* (2007).

#### REFERENCES

- Abrol V., Sharma V., Sharma P., Khar D., Vittal, K.P.R and Sharma, K.L. 2007. Direct and residual effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on maize (*Zea mays*)-rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) cropping sequence under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Dryland Agril. Res. Dev.*, **22**: 82-89.
- Chand, S. and Ram, D. 2007. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient use efficiency in rapeseed. *Indian J. Fert.*, **3**: 51-54.
- Kumar, H. and Yadav, D.S 2007. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on growth, yield and quality of Indian rapeseed (*Brassica juncea*) cultivars. *Indian. J. Agron.*, **52**: 154-57.
- Mina, B.L., Manohar, R.S. and Chaudhary, D.R. 2003. Yield attributes, yield and quality of rapeseed (*Brassica juncea*) as influenced by phosphorus and zinc nutrition. *Annals Agric. Res.*, **24**: 87-90.
- Sharma, A., Gupta R. and Kumar A. 2007. Production potential of rapeseed (*Brassica juncea*) under varying sowing methods and at different sulphur and nitrogen levels. *Plant-Archives.* ; **7**: 893-96.
- Singh, Raju and Singh, S.K. 2006. Evaluation of yield and quality aspects of Indian rapeseed (*Brassica juncea* L.) Czernj & Cosson) under integrated nutrient management. *Annals Agric. Res.*, **27**: 220-23.

