Effect of chemicals and growth regulators on fruit retention, yield and quality of mango cv. Amrapali

V. VEJENDLA, P. K. MAITY AND B. C. BANIK

Department of Fruits and Orchard Management, Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur-741235, Nadia, West Bengal email: prasanta.bckv@gmail.com

Mango (Mangifera indica L), belongs to Anacardiaceae family, is the world's most luscious fruit has been recognized as the 'King of fruits' in India long back. Inspite of profuse flowering and very high fruit set, the ultimate retention and marketable produce of mango is phenomenally low primarily due to heavy fruit drop. Three distinct phases of fruit drop in mango are pin head drop, post setting drop and May drop (Chadha and Singh, 1964). Fruit drop can be significantly controlled by the plant growth regulators (Anila and Radha, 2003). Keeping these views in mind the present investigation was carried out to study the effect of chemicals and growth regulators on fruit retention, yield and quality

per panicle at harvest, number of fruits harvested from plant as well as yield per plant followed by KNO₃ at 0.5% (Fig.1).

The field experiment was conducted in the year of 2005-06 at Central Research Farm, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Gayeshpur, Nadia, West Bengal to study the efficacy of. chemicals and growth regulators in controlling fruit drop and their effect on yield and fruit quality of five years old mango cv. Amrapali. The experiment was laid out in

were replicated thrice. The treatments were as

2,4-D at 10 ppm (T_3), 2,4-D at 20 ppm (T_4), $ZnSO_4$ at 0.5% (T_5), $ZnSO_4$ at 0.75% (T_6), KNO_3 at 0.5% (T_7), KNO_3 at 0.5% (T_8), and control *i.e.* water spray (T_9). Two sprays were applied, one at pea stage and other at marble stage.

The chemicals and growth regulators showed significant influence on the fruit retention and yield of mango cv. Amrapali over control. Application of NAA at 50 ppm recoded maximum (9.85%) fruit retention per panicle followed by 2, 4-D at 10 ppm. The plants received the treatment of NAA at 50 ppm produced highest number of fruits per plant and yield (88/plant and 16.24 kg/plant) followed by 2, 4-D at 10 ppm and NAA at 25 ppm. These findings are in conformity with the findings of Khan *et al.* (1993). Whereas, plants without application of chemicals and growth regulators recorded minimum fruit retention

The data presented in the Table 1 showed that there were represented in the Table 1 showed that there were represented in the Table 1 showed that there were represented in the product of Amrapali mango due to the effect of different chemicals and growth regulators. Among the treatment is, a varying of ZnSO₄ at 0.75% resulted product on a superior finite with significantly higher fruit weight (215.8 g), but (7.90%), rss (19.67 Brix), total ug r (15.9 %). TS vac d rs id (215.01) and lower per and down product on the ruits were also less acidic (0.77, 1.76, though there was no significant warranton whith autobity among treatments.

Banik and Sen (1997) obtained highest individual fruit weight with the application 0.4% Zinc. Spraying of ZnSO₄ at 0.5 % also recorded higher values regarding quality parameters of fruits. The control plants receiving water spray produced poor quality fruits followed by plants sprayed with KNO₃ at 0.5%.

It is concluded that exogenous application of NAA at 50 ppm at pea and marble stage of fruit growth was beneficial in improving the fruit retention and yield of mango cv. Amrapali while, ZnSO₄ at 0.75% resulted in production of superior fruits.

Treatments	Fruit weight (g)	Pulp (%)	Peel (%)	Stone (%)	TSS (°Brix)	Total sugar (%)	Reducing sugar (%)	Acidity (%)	TSS/acid ratio
	193.33	68.60	15.17	16.22	18.67	15.00	4.72	0.197	94.76
T_2	184.16	68.44	14.96	16.59	18.47	14.82	4.67	0.254	75.31
T_3	184.16	68.29	15.12	16.58	18.13	14.20	4.29	0.197	92.01
T_4	165.00	68.39	16.94	14.66	17.93	14.03	4.23	0.213	84.75
T ₅	210.83	70.94	13.70	15.35	19.33	15.68	4.80	0.251	77.17
T_{6}	216.83	71.90	13.29	14.81	19.67	15.91	4.96	0.171	115.01
\mathbf{T}_{7}	162.50	67.70	15.11	17.18	17.80	12.98	3.37	0.187	95.83
T_8	155.00	67.91	14.99	17.09	17.73	12.65	3.42	0.251	70.65
T,	135.00	67.47	15.25	17.27	17.53	12.53	3.10	0.304	57.67
$S.Em(\pm)$	8.597	0.251	0.139	0.165	0.193	0.289	0.19	0.12	-
CD (P=0.05)	25.771	0.752	0.417	0.496	0.578	0.866	0.567	NS	-

Table 1. Effect of chemicals and growth regulators on physico-chemical composition of mango cv. Amrapali

REFERENCE

Anila, R. and Radha, T. 2003. Studies on fruit drop in mango varieties. *J. Trop. Agric.*, **41:**30-32.

Anonymous. 2004. Area and production of fruits and vegetables in West Bengal. *Eco.Rev.*, *Statistical Appendix*, Govt. of West Bengal, pp.86

Banik, B. C. and Sen, S. K. 1997. Effect of three different levels of zinc, iron, and boron and their interactions on growth, flowering andyield of mango cv. Fazli. *Hort. J.*, **10**: 23-29.

Chadha, K. L. and Singh, K. K. 1964. Fruit drop in mango: Intesity, periodicity and nature of shedding of immature fruits. *Indian J. Hort.*, **20**: 30-33.

Decandole 1904. *Origin of Cultivated Plants*. Kegan Paul, Londan

Khan, M. A., Makhaoom, M. I. and Abdul, H. 1993. Investigation on the efficacy of exogenous synthetic growth regulators on fruit drop in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) *Egyptian Hort. J.*, **20:** 1-14.

Sanyal, G. S. and Maity, S. C. 1989. Studies on nature of fruit drop and its relation with fruit growth in some mango varieties. *Prog. Hort.*, **21:** 300-304.