

## Persistence of herbicides and its impact on soil micro flora in rice-rice system

K. M. DURGA DEVI, M. M. KANNAN, C.T. ABRAHAM AND S. BEENA

College of Horticulture, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur- 680656, Kerala

### ABSTRACT

Experiments were carried out in the field and laboratory during 2001-02 to study the persistence of three herbicides, namely butachlor, pretilachlor (Rifit) and 2,4-D in a rice – rice system and the effect of their residues on soil micro flora. Irrespective of the seasons and treatments, all the three herbicides dissipated quickly from soil and no residues were detected at the time of harvest. Even in the plots where butachlor was sprayed continuously for the four crop seasons without addition of organic matter, more than 96.20 per cent of the applied herbicide disappeared from the soil by 30 days after spraying. Therefore, alternate application of butachlor or pretilachlor between years or seasons could not exert any influence on their persistence in soil. The results also indicated that considerable variation in the microbial population would result from the application of herbicides. However, the change was observed only for a short period. Drastic decline in the population of soil bacteria noticed at one day after the application of herbicides extended up to 30 to 45 days in the first crop and 15 to 30 days in the second crop. In all the treatments, microorganisms attained the initial (normal) level of population by the time of harvest. Inhibitory effect of the herbicides was more on soil bacteria than soil fungi or actinomycetes and the extent of inhibition was more manifested by the application of butachlor than pretilachlor. The treatments with farmyard manure had higher population of micro flora at all the sampling intervals and they registered higher rate of degradation of butachlor, pretilachlor and 2,4-D in the soil.

**Key words :** Herbicide persistence, soil micro flora, rice-rice system, influence of organic matter

An understanding of the persistence of herbicides used in a cropping system is important for assessing their pollution potential. Several experiments conducted in different parts of India with single application of herbicides in rice crop showed that at recommended rates of application of 0.75 to 2.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, butachlor, pretilachlor and 2,4-D did not persist in the soil beyond the time of harvest (Kulshrestha *et al.*, 1981, Jayakumar and Sreeramulu, 1993., Deka and Gogoi, 1993., Devi *et al.* 1997 and Devi, 2002. Microbial degradation is one of the major processes responsible for dissipation of herbicides in soil. Application of organic matter enhanced the microbial activity and reduced the inhibitory effects of herbicides on microbes (Bolan and Baskaran, 1996). However, there are only limited reports on the cumulative effect of pre and post emergence herbicides on the soil micro flora when these chemicals are used continuously for more than one year. The present study was programmed to evaluate the persistence and degradation of common rice herbicides in the cropping system, to study the effect of organic matter on herbicide residue build up in soil and to compare the status of the soil micro flora and herbicide residues in soil under single and repeated application of the same herbicide.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trials were conducted in the first and second crop seasons of 2001 and 2002 with six treatments (Table 1). Rate of application of each herbicide was kept uniform in all the crop seasons. (Butachlor @ 1.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>: Pretilachlor @ 0.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> : 2,4-D @ 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Fertilizers were applied as per the Package of Practices- Recommendations of Kerala

Agricultural University and the recommended rate of 70: 35:35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through fertilizer was taken as 100% NPK. In the two treatments viz., T4 and T6, 25 % N, P and K (17.5 kg N, 8.75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O) were replaced through FYM. Both butachlor and pretilachlor were applied as pre emergence spray at 8 days after sowing (since phytotoxicity was more when applied before 8 days especially under flooded situation in the rainy season) and 2,4-D was applied at three weeks after sowing. Herbicides residues in the soil were estimated from the soil samples taken at first and second crop seasons of 2002 by taking soil samples from the plots at 1 and 30 days after spraying and at the time of harvest. Gas chromatographic methods suggested by Sankaran *et al.* (1993) were used for the analysis of the residues.

For studying the effect of herbicide application on the total population of bacteria and fungi, soil samples were taken from the plots at 0,1,7,15,30 and 45 days after spraying. Each soil sample was introduced into soil dilutions of 10<sup>-4</sup> and 10<sup>-6</sup> for fungi and bacteria respectively and plated on to the respective enrichment media. (Martin's rose bengal streptomycin agar and soil extract agar respectively for fungi and bacteria, Rangaswami, 1988). Observations on the total number of bacteria per 10<sup>-6</sup> g soil and fungal colonies per 10<sup>-4</sup> g soil were recorded. In order to see variations in the population of soil bacteria and fungi due to various weed control treatments in the rice-rice cropping system, their percentage variation over the normal population at varying intervals were worked out. Population before spraying (0 DAS) was taken as normal population (100 %) for each treatment. By subtracting the percentage change from normal, their

pdfMachine - is a pdf writer that produces quality PDF files with ease!

Get yours now!

"Thank you very much! I can use Acrobat Distiller or the Acrobat PDFWriter but I consider your product a lot easier to use and much preferable to Adobe's" A.Sarras - USA

population at different sampling intervals were worked out and those values were taken on the (y) axis for plotting the graphs. Sampling intervals were plotted on the X axis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Persistence of butachlor

The results indicated that the initial residues (at 1 DAS) remain more or less same in both the crop seasons and about 89 to 95% of the applied herbicide (the change in concentration ranged from -89.47% to -94.95 % for the first crop and -94.16 % (only one treatment) for the second crop) had been dissipated from the soil within 30 days after spraying (Table 2.). Greater losses were observed in the FYM applied plots (94.95%). Since soil microorganisms are involved in the degradation of butachlor (Pionke and Chesters (1973), Beestman and Deming (1974),

Chen and Wu (1978)) added organic matter would have enhanced their activity. Disappearance of the residue from the plot which received continuous application of butachlor without FYM ( $T_2$ ) was faster in the second crop season.

### Persistence of pretilachlor

About 86.81% to 96.00% of the applied pretilachlor had disappeared from the soil by 30 days after spraying (Table 3). Higher losses of pretilachlor were observed in the plots which received FYM (93.52% to 96.00%). As in the case of butachlor, higher degree of dissipation was noticed in the second crop season. The results of the present investigation indicated similarities in the pattern of dissipation of butachlor and pretilachlor in the paddy field under a particular agro climatic condition.

**Table 1 : Treatments applied for two years in the rice-rice system**

| Treatments | 2001  |                                    | 2002  |                                    |
|------------|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|            | First crop  | Second crop                        | First crop  | Second crop                        |
| $T_1$      | HW (Farmer's practice)                              | HW (Farmer's practice)             | HW (Farmer's practice)                            | HW (Farmer's practice)             |
| $T_2$      | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)                     | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)    | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)                   | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)    |
| $T_3$      | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)                     | Pretilachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK) | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)                   | Pretilachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK) |
| $T_4$      | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(75% NPK + 25% FYM<br>through) | Pretilachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK) | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(75% NPK+25% FYM<br>through) | Pretilachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK) |
| $T_5$      | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)                     | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)    | Pretilachlor, + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)               | Pretilachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK) |
| $T_6$      | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(75% NPK + 25% FYM)            | Butachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK)    | Pretilachlor, + 2,4-D<br>(75% NPK+25% FYM)        | Pretilachlor + 2,4-D<br>(100% NPK) |

**Table 2 : Butachlor residues in the soil ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) during the first and second crop seasons of 2002**

| Treatments | First crop |                 |         | Second crop |                |         |
|------------|------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|----------------|---------|
|            | 1 DAS      | 30 DAS          | Harvest | 1 DAS       | 30 DAS         | Harvest |
| $T_1$      | NA         | NA              | NA      | NA          | NA             | NA      |
| $T_2$      | 0.3310     | 0.0240 (-92.75) | BDL     | 0.3430      | 0.020 (-94.16) | BDL     |
| $T_3$      | 0.3895     | 0.0410 (-89.47) | BDL     | NA          | NA             | NA      |
| $T_4$      | 0.3960     | 0.0200 (-94.95) | BDL     | NA          | NA             | NA      |
| $T_5$      | NA         | NA              | NA      | NA          | NA             | NA      |
| $T_6$      | NA         | NA              | NA      | NA          | NA             | NA      |

**pdfMachine - is a pdf writer that produces quality PDF files with ease!**

**Get yours now!**

"Thank you very much! I can use Acrobat Distiller or the Acrobat PDFWriter but I consider your product a lot easier to use and much preferable to Adobe's" A.Sarras - USA

**Table 3 : Pretilachlor residues in the soil ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) during the first and second crop seasons of 2002**

| Treatments     | First crop |                 |         | Second crop |                |         |
|----------------|------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|----------------|---------|
|                | 1 DAS      | 30 DAS          | Harvest | 1 DAS       | 30 DAS         | Harvest |
| T <sub>1</sub> | NA         | NA              | NA      | NA          | NA             | NA      |
| T <sub>2</sub> | NA         | NA              | NA      | NA          | NA             | NA      |
| T <sub>3</sub> | NA         | NA              | NA      | 0.2275      | 0.030 (-86.81) | BDL     |
| T <sub>4</sub> | NA         | NA              | NA      | 0.1990      | 0.010 (-94.97) | BDL     |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 0.2150     | 0.0205 (-90.70) | BDL     | 0.2310      | 0.025 (-89.18) | BDL     |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 0.2005     | 0.0130 (-93.52) | BDL     | 0.2500      | 0.010 (-96.00) | BDL     |

DAS – Days after spraying : NA - Not analysed when the particular herbicide was not applied ( the treatment consists either butachlor or pretilachlor) : BDL – Below detectable level.


Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage change from one day after spraying.

**Table 4 : 2,4-D residues in the soil ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) during the first and second crop seasons of 2002**

| Treatments     | First crop |                |         | Second crop |                |         |
|----------------|------------|----------------|---------|-------------|----------------|---------|
|                | 1 DAS      | 30 DAS         | Harvest | 1 DAS       | 30 DAS         | Harvest |
| T <sub>1</sub> | NA         | NA             | NA      | NA          | NA             | NA      |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.385      | 0.020 (-94.80) | BDL     | 0.480       | 0.016 (-96.67) | BDL     |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.310      | 0.035 (-88.70) | BDL     | 0.420       | 0.01 (-96.43)  | BDL     |
| T <sub>4</sub> | 0.502      | 0.015 (-97.01) | BDL     | 0.400       | 0.005 (-98.75) | BDL     |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 0.390      | 0.015 (-98.15) | BDL     | 0.470       | 0.010 (-97.87) | BDL     |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 0.400      | 0.010 (-97.5)  | BDL     | 0.395       | 0.005 (-98.73) | BDL     |

DAS – Days after spraying : NA - Not analysed ( No 2,4-D treatment) BDL – Below detectable level.


Figures in parentheses indicate the percentage change from one day after spraying



pdfMachine - is a pdf writer that produces quality PDF files with ease!


Get yours now!

"Thank you very much! I can use Acrobat Distiller or the Acrobat PDFWriter but I consider your product a lot easier to use and much preferable to Adobe's" A.Sarras - USA




**Fig. 1 :** Changes in the population of soil bacteria in the first and second crop seasons due to application of herbicides

**First crop**



## Second crop



**Fig. 2 :** Changes in the population of soil fungi in the first and second crop seasons due to application of herbicides to wet land paddy

### Persistence of 2,4-D

Residues of 2,4-D also dissipated quickly from the soil. About 88.70% to 98.75% of the applied herbicide had been dissipated from the soil by 30 DAS, which received FYM (Table 4). Devi (2002) reported that degradation of 2,4-D in the rice soils of Kerala followed first order rate equation with half-lives ranging from 3.44-10.76 days.

### EFFECT ON SOIL MICROFLORA

#### Effect on soil bacteria

The population of bacteria changed over varying time intervals in a crop season. There was an increase in the population of soil bacteria from 0 to 15 DAS in the hand weeded plot. The population came down and attained initial level by the time of harvest. In the herbicide treatments, population of soil bacteria was lower during 15 and 30 days after spraying in the first and second crop seasons respectively. Maximum reduction was noticed at 7 DAS in both the crop seasons, except in the pretilachlor + FYM treatment. Farm yard manure application would have reduced the toxicity of pretilachlor and hence the population of bacteria was higher at 7 DAS than that of 1 DAS.

In the plot where butachlor was followed by 2,4-D during the first and second crop seasons (T<sub>2</sub>) percentage reduction in soil bacteria was 95.20 % in the first crop and 96.8 % during the second crop season. In both the seasons maximum percent

reduction was noticed at 7 DAS. The treatment T<sub>3</sub> (butachlor fb 2,4-D with 100 % NPK in first crop and pretilachlor fb 2,4-D with 100 % NPK in second crop) also recorded about 96.00 % reduction in population during both the seasons. In the first crop, maximum reduction was noticed at 30 DAS while in the second crop season drastic reduction was observed immediately after spraying (1 DAS) which prolonged up to 15 DAS only. The result indicated that the effect of pretilachlor on the soil bacteria was comparatively lesser than that of butachlor.

In the FYM applied plot (T<sub>4</sub>) when butachlor fb 2,4-D was alternated with pretilachlor fb 2,4-D in the second crop season, the percentage reduction was less (61.70% to 84.10%).

In the plot where pretilachlor fb 2,4-D was applied during the two crop seasons without FYM application, percent inhibition of bacterial growth during the first crop at 15 DAS was 96.0 % while in the second crop season percentage inhibition was 80.5 %. When pretilachlor was sprayed in the FYM treated plots the negative effect of herbicide was less compared to the plot which received no FYM. Here also maximum reduction was noticed in the first crop season (90.4%).

#### Effect on soil fungi

The results revealed that population of fungi in the soil also varied with time. In all the treatments percentage inhibition was comparatively less for soil

fungi than for soil bacteria (Fig.1& 2). When butachlor fb 2,4-D was continuously applied during first and second crop seasons, maximum reduction in population of fungi was observed at 15 DAS (81.9 and 71.8 per cent for the first and second crop seasons respectively). It was also noticed that both butachlor and pretilachlor (T<sub>3</sub>) inhibited the fungal population to the same magnitude (76.8 %) in both the seasons. So, pretilachlor was equally harmful to soil fungi as butachlor, while in the case of bacteria the inhibitory effect of pretilachlor was less compared to that of butachlor.

In the plots which received FYM when sprayed with butachlor during the first crop season and pretilachlor in the second crop season, variation in the population of fungi occurred to a lesser magnitude (56.76 and 30.30 % for butachlor and pretilachlor respectively). When pretilachlor was applied continuously in first and second crop seasons without the application of FYM, fungal population was inhibited to a greater extent during second crop season. Observations recorded from T<sub>6</sub> (pretilachlor fb 2,4-D with 75 % NPK and 25 % FYM) made clear that the inhibitory effect of pretilachlor on soil fungi was less when sprayed after the application of FYM.

The above results on the effect of treatments on the soil microflora can be summarized in the following manner. The reduction in the population of bacteria and fungi due to the application of herbicides is indicative of the harmful effect of these chemicals to certain species of microflora. However, their total population remained unchanged at the time of harvest due to the low persistence of residues in soil. The results revealed that inhibitory effect of butachlor or pretilachlor or 2,4-D on the soil microorganisms is only temporary. Similar effects have been reported by Ghatak *et al.* (2006) when pre emergence herbicide fluchloralin was applied to soil. Farmyard manure enhanced the population of soil bacteria and fungi and reduced the adverse effect of herbicides.

#### REFERENCES

Beestman and Deming (1974). Dissipation of acetanilide herbicides from soils. *Agron. J.*

**66:** 308-311

- Bolan, N.S. and Baskaran, S. (1996). Biodegradation of 2, 4-D herbicide as affected by its adsorption-desorption behaviour and microbial activity of soils. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* **34:** 1041-1053
- Chen, Y.L. and Wu, T.C. (1978). Degradation of herbicide butachlor by soil microbes. *J. Pestic. Sci.* **3:** 411-417.
- Deka, S.K. and Gogoi, A.K. (1993). Studies on the persistence of butachlor in soil and residues in straw and grain of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Proceedings of International Symposium on integrated weed management for sustainable agriculture Vol. II. Nov. 18-20, Hisar, India, pp. 96-98.
- Devi, K.M.D. (2002). Assessment of 2, 4-D residues in the major rice soils of Kerala. Ph.D. thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Trichur, 195p.
- Devi, M.P., Reddy, C.N., Reddy, N.V., Reddy, K.N. and Rao, B.N. (1997). Degradation of butachlor in transplanted rice and residues in soil, straw and grain of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Res. ANGRAU.* **25**(4): 13-15.
- Ghatak.R., Ghosh,R.K. and Ghosh, S.K. (2006). Impact of integrated weed management on soil microflora in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *J. Crop Weed* **2**(1): 15-19.
- Jayakumar, R. and Sree ramulu V.S. (1993). Degradation and persistence of herbicides in transplanted rice. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* **2:** 101-105.
- Kulshrestha, G., Yaduraju, N.T. and Mani, V.S. (1981). Dissipation of butachlor and propanil herbicides in rice crop. Proceedings of Eighth Asian Pacific Weed Science Society Conference. Bangalore, India, pp. 469-474.
- Pionke, H.B. and Chesters, G. (1973). Pesticide - sediment - water interactions. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2:** 29-41.
- Rangaswami, R. (1988). Diseases of Crop plants in India . Prentice Hall of India, Pvt Ltd, New Delhi, pp.460-469.
- Sankaran, S, Jayakumar, R. and Kempuchetty, N. (1993). Herbicide residues. Gandhi Book House, Coimbatore, 251p.