



Response of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cultivars to different dates of grafting

*G. VISHWAKARMA, R. PAL AND G. SHARMA

Department of Fruit Science
RLBCAU, Jhansi-284003, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received: 28.12.2023, Revised: 28.03.2024; Accepted: 20.04.2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2024.v20.i1.1766>

ABSTRACT

Aonla is one of most suitable fruit crop for Bundelkhand region as it's require minimum water and can tolerate high pH up to 9. Owing to its diverse nutritional value, particularly its inclusion of vitamin C, aonla is a widely sought-after fruit for creation of many byproducts such as chyawanprash, trifla, murabba, candy, etc. An experiment was undertaken in open field condition at experimental field, Department of Fruit Science, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi (Uttar Pradesh) during 2021 with the aim to study the success and survival percentage of different aonla cultivars on local rootstock at different time intervals in winter season. The research work was started with factorial randomized block design with two factors, Varieties (NA-6, NA-7 and Chakaiya) and different dates (20, 30 January and 10, 20 February) of grafting. The scion of different varieties were grafted with wedge method of grafting on Local root stock of Aonla on 20th January, 30th January, 10th February and 20th February to check the different growth parameters viz., number of leaves, height of graft, number of primary branches, number of grafts sprouted, sprouting percentage, scion girth, survival percentage and number of days taken for sprouting in aonla. Three replications of each of the twelve treatment combinations were conducted. More number of leaves, maximum number of graft per treatment, minimum days take for sprouting, maximum sprouting percentage, more number of primary branches and maximum survival percentage were obtained when the plants were grafted on local rootstock at 20th January while height of graft and scion girth were found with NA-7 variety grafted at 30th January. For the Bundelkhand region, as per result obtained for aonla grafting, variety NA-7 is more suitable for getting better sprouting and other vegetative characters of grafted plant when it is grafted around 20th January using local rootstock.

Keywords: Aonla, grafting, sprouting, scion, rootstock

Aonla is a fruit tree botanically known as *Emblica officinalis* Gaertn. containing the 2n=28 chromosomal number. Indian gooseberry, frequently referred to as *Fruit of the 21st Century Amritphal fruit*, Aonla, or Usuri, Amla in India, is a native of tropical South-East Asia and a genus of the Euphorbiaceae family. According to Lilabati and Sahoo (2016), this tree has strong roots and can grow in a variety of soil types. Madhya Pradesh is on first position with the production of 403.77 (000 Tonnes) followed by Uttar Pradesh from an area 26.02 (000 ha) and 36.71 (000 ha) respectively (NHB-2021-22). Seeds and asexual (vegetative) ways are both used to propagate Aonla. Asexually it is propagated by patch

budding, shield budding, chip budding, forkert budding and wedge grafting (Negi *et al.*, 2010). On the other hand, there is a lot of variability and the aonla plants grown from seeds do not grow true to type. As vegetatively propagated fruit trees are true to type and bear fruit early on, it has, therefore, been suggested that superior types of aonla be multiplied by using vegetative methods such as budding, grafting, inarching, etc. to get around the drawbacks of seed propagation (Hartmann *et al.*, 1997). Even though shield/patch budding in aonla has a relatively high success rate, it is a labor-intensive, time-consuming procedure that can only be carried out on seedling rootstocks that have been older than a year.

***Email:** govind0139@gmail.com

How to cite: Vishwakarma, G., Pal, R. and Sharma, G. 2024. Response of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cultivars to different dates of grafting. *J. Crop and Weed*, 20(1): 108-115.

Numerous factors, including the scion's source, the grafting season, the technique, the age of the rootstock, and meteorological conditions, affect the survival and success of grafts (Hartmann *et al.*, 1997). Numerous other studies on the budding of aonla during the rainy season have already been finished, and this method is being used commercially to multiply aonla. The study on grafting of aonla is yet to be standardized in the Bundelkhand region to provide the planting material of aonla to the farmers round the year. Therefore, the goal of the current study is to determine and standardize the ideal growth conditions and times for aonla propagation in the Bundelkhand region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research work entitled “Response of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cultivars to different dates of grafting” was undertaken in open field conditions at Experimental field, Department of Fruit Science, RLBCAU Jhansi, during 2021. There were twelve treatment combinations made with two factors (Variety and Date of grafting) which were replicated three times under Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD). Each treatment included 10 plants per replication. For grafting, ten months’ old seedlings of the briskly growing rootstocks that were uniform in size and thickness were chosen. The rootstocks chosen for grafting were developed on our research farm by gathering seeds from likely 20-years-old locally available seedling plants. For the study, non-flowering shoots with dark green leaves that were roughly 15 cm long, straight, smooth, healthy, devoid of pests and diseases, and the same thickness as the rootstock was used to choose the scion stick from seven years old mature tree. The scion was procured from our own established orchard under semi-arid condition. Wedge grafting was done during the months of January and February at 10 days interval. The parameters considered in this study are number of grafts sprouted per treatment, days taken for first sprouting, sprouting percentage (%), number of primary branches/ graft, number of leaves per graft, height of graft (cm), scion girth (cm) and survival percentage (%).

Number of grafts sprouted per treatment

Regular observation was made up to 30 days after grafting (DAG) and total number of sprouted plants was counted and the mean value was noted for analysis.

Number of days taken for sprouting

Number of days taken for sprouting was calculated as the average of days for initiation of sprout and days for final sprouting.

Sprouting percentage

The total number of sprouted grafts under each treatment was recorded at 90 days after planting and the total number was converted into percentage. The mean percentage was noted for analysis.

Number of primary branches

After the successful grafting, the primary branches were counted and the mean value was taken for analysis.

Number of leaves per graft

The number of leaves per graft under each treatment was counted at 30, 60 and 90 days after grafting and mean value was noted.

Height of graft

The height of the grafted plants was recorded on 30, 60 and 90 DAG by using meter scale from the base of the plant to tip of the leaf.

Scion girth

The girth of the graft was measured 1 cm above from the graft joint using vernier caliper.

Survival percentage

The number of living plants was counted at 90 days after grafting and the total survival percentage was calculated and their average was worked out.

The graft success percentage and survival percentage were calculated by using following formulae:

$$\text{Graft success percent} = \frac{\text{Total number of sprouted graft}}{\text{Total number of grafted plants}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Graft survival percent} = \frac{\text{Total number of grafts survived}}{\text{Total number of sprouted grafts}} \times 100$$

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was the statistical method used to analyze the final data for each character that was recorded during the course of the study. As recommended by Panse and Sukhatme (1985), the importance of various treatments was assessed.

Table-1: Factors and their levels

| Time of grafting | | Varieties of aonla | |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| W1 | 20 January, 2021 | V1 | NA-6 (Narendra Aonla-6) |
| W2 | 30 January, 2021 | V2 | NA-7 (Narendra Aonla-7) |
| W3 | 10 February, 2021 | V3 | Chakaiya |
| W4 | 20 February, 2021 | | |

Table-2: Details of treatment combinations

| Treatment | Combinations |
|-------------------|--|
| T ₁ : | W ₁ V ₁ [20 January (Deshi + NA-6)] |
| T ₂ : | W ₁ V ₂ [20 January (Deshi + NA-7)] |
| T ₃ : | W ₁ V ₃ [20 January (Deshi + Chakaiya)] |
| T ₄ : | W ₂ V ₁ [30 January (Deshi + NA-6)] |
| T ₅ : | W ₂ V ₂ [30 January (Deshi + NA-7)] |
| T ₆ : | W ₂ V ₃ [30 January (Deshi + Chakaiya)] |
| T ₇ : | W ₃ V ₁ [10 February (Deshi + NA-6)] |
| T ₈ : | W ₃ V ₂ [10 February (Deshi + NA-7)] |
| T ₉ : | W ₃ V ₃ [10 February (Deshi + Chakaiya)] |
| T ₁₀ : | W ₄ V ₁ [20 February (Deshi + NA-6)] |
| T ₁₁ : | W ₄ V ₂ [20 February (Deshi + NA-7)] |
| T ₁₂ : | W ₄ V ₃ [20 February (Deshi + Chakaiya)] |

Table-3: Meteorological data during experimentation

| Month | Std met. Week | Temp. (°C) | RH | Wind velocity (km/hr) | Rainfall (mm) | No. of rainy days | Evaporation (mm) | | |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----|------|
| January | 1 | 22.7 | 10.3 | 87.0 | 65.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| | 2 | 21.3 | 9.4 | 90.0 | 60.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| | 3 | 22.2 | 6.3 | 88.0 | 56.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| | 4 | 21.0 | 5.7 | 88.0 | 59.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| | 5 | 24.7 | 6.3 | 89.0 | 48.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| January -February | 6 | 24.2 | 9.1 | 86.0 | 46.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| | 7 | 27.5 | 10.9 | 88.0 | 43.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| February | 8 | 28.8 | 12.1 | 79.0 | 42.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| | 9 | 32.1 | 14.2 | 85.0 | 46.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 |
| | 10 | 33.7 | 14.3 | 81.0 | 43.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.8 |
| February -March | 11 | 31.6 | 14.6 | 86.0 | 39.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 5.9 |
| | 12 | 34.8 | 16.6 | 81.0 | 37.0 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 6.4 |
| March | 13 | 37.0 | 16.8 | 78.0 | 33.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 |
| | 14 | 38.7 | 17.3 | 72.0 | 33.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| March-April | 15 | 38.4 | 18.8 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 |
| | 16 | 39.1 | 19.0 | 60.0 | 36.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 |
| | 17 | 38.7 | 18.5 | 64.0 | 33.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 |
| April-May | 18 | 42.1 | 19.7 | 58.0 | 32.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 |
| | 19 | 38.1 | 21.1 | 56.0 | 38.0 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 10.5 |
| May | 20 | 35.6 | 21.3 | 71.0 | 44.0 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 8.6 |
| | 21 | 36.4 | 22.3 | 61.0 | 41.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 |
| | 22 | 39.6 | 25.3 | 57.0 | 39.0 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 10.3 |
| May-June | 23 | 41.8 | 27.3 | 53.0 | 32.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.6 |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Number of grafts sprouted/ treatment

The three varieties of aonla were grafted on different dates of January to February. About 15 days after grafting, the bud sprouting was started and the maximum (9.62) number of grafts per treatment was sprouted under the treatment combination W1V2. In other varieties, the bud sprouting was also noted; whereas, the minimum number of sprouts was noted under the treatment combination W4V1. Rani *et al.* (2015) observed similar findings in guava. Chandra *et al.* (2011) also reported similar results in pomegranate. Additionally, according to Singh and Singh (2007), relative humidity plays a significant role in bud sprouting, with higher humidity causing

guava buds to sprout earlier. The outcome supports the conclusions of Negi *et al.* (2010) in aonla. Dewangan *et al.* (2023) found maximum sprouts while working on cashew nut under baster region of Chhattisgarh.

Days taken for first sprouting

From the result shown in Table-4, it is depicted that the treatment W3V1 took minimum (9.70 days) time to come under first sprouting, while the treatment combination W4V3 sprouted very late (14.09 days). Similar results were noted by Patel *et al.* (2007) in Mandarin and Jalal *et al.* (2018) in Aonla. Wazarkar *et al.* (2009) in sapota, Sonawane *et al.* (2012) in carambola, Kumar *et al.* (2017) in guava, and Bodkhe and Rajput (2010) in jamun reported similar kind of findings.

Number of primary branches/graft

According to data recorded during experiment, the number of primary branches was ranged from 0.95 to 1.43 (Table 4). The scion shoot of NA-7 variety of aonla grafted on 20th January (W1V2), found to have better growth with maximum number of primary branches. Chakaiya, another variety of aonla, grafted on 20th February (W4V3) was very slow in growth and recorded minimum number of primary branches. The greatest number of primary branches per sapling in guava was reported by Beer *et al.* (2013), Paul and Pampanagouda (2010), and Roshan *et al.* (2013), which were greater than the current data. This could be because of the various species used in the research and the climate at the study locations.

Number of leaves at 30, 60 and 90 DAG

The data presented in Table-5 showed that effect of different dates of grafting was not able to affect the number of leaves at all three stages (30, 60 and 90 DAG) of data recording. Though non-significant, maximum numbers of leaves were found with treatment combination W1V2. It might be due to the current favourable temperature and relative humidity for plant activity, which on January 20th in the NA-7 cultivar led to more leaves with higher meristematic activity and early graft union healing. Similar type of findings were recorded by Kudmulwar *et al.* (2008), Patil *et al.* (2017) and Dhutraj *et al.* (2018) in custard apple; Chouksey *et al.* (2016) in guava, and Ghojag *et al.* (2011), Gadekar *et al.* (2011) and Chander *et al.* (2016) in Jamun.

Height of graft (cm) at 30, 60, 90 DAG

The height of graft increased up to 5.65 cm under treatment combination W1V2: but as the time is passes, the maximum (11.14cm and 16.15cm) height was recorded under the treatment combination W2V2 for other two stages (60 and 90 DAG) of data recording. The plant of Chakaiya grafted on local rootstock on 20th February exhibited lowest height. Ability of leaves to produce sufficient photosynthetic food was then used to accelerate the primary growth, resulting in a greater graft height on January 20 in the NA-7 cultivar. Similar results were noted in Jamun by Ghojage *et al.* (2011) and Chander *et al.* (2016); in Karonda by Bhavya *et al.* (2018) and in Mango by Karna *et al.* (2018). Poor vegetative growth in the grafts made on 20th February was primarily caused by the plants' reduced exposure to sunlight as a result of the cloudy weather and winter that was experienced during this month.

Sprouting percentage (%)

The data presented in table-8 depicted that the sprouting percentage in grafted plants was better with the variety NA-7 grafted on local root stock on 20th January, showing a maximum of 96.67 %

sprouting. The variety NA-6 sprouted in medium on an average, while it was of lowest magnitude with the variety Chakaiya. Sonawane *et al.* (2012) reported similar outcomes in Carambola. Reshma *et al.* (2016) reported maximum percentage of sprouting by using scion shoot at different time in guava. Sharma *et al.* (2022) reported more sprouting percentage in walnut at different time of grafting during winter season.

Scion girth (mm) at 30, 60, 90 DAG

The girth of scion was noted at different time intervals (30, 60 and 90 days after grafting) and the average mean value was noted and presented in table-7. From table-7, it is clear that the treatment W2V2 at 30 DAG recorded maximum (4.69 mm) thickness of scion, while at 60 and 90 DAG the treatment combination both W2V2 and W1V3 showed maximum (5.04 mm and 5.44 mm) increment in scion girth. Variation in scion girth across the different kinds may be associated with how well they differentiate parenchymal cells in the vascular system and develop calluses. Bhadra (2012) also noted comparable outcomes in carambola. The outcome was consistent with Sohnika *et al.* (2015)'s findings for guava. The highest stem thickness in wedge-grafted plants that we found here is consistent with the results of Somkuwar *et al.* (2009). Chalise *et al.* (2021) found maximum scion girth while working on walnut in open condition.

Survival %

All the grafted plants sprouted and performed well, but among them some of the plants were not able to survive till the transplanting. The data showed in table-8 clearly indicate that the maximum survival percentage of plants was noted when the scion of variety NA-7 grafted on local rootstock at 20th January; while the plants of treatment combination W3V1 survived less. It may be the cause that around 20th January the temperature and evaporation rate were minimum and humidity was somewhat high. These conditions are more favorable for proper sprouting and survival of the graft. Also the existence of varying levels of endogenous phytochemicals and the physiological stage of the scions at the time of grafting could be the cause of varying success rates of aonla varieties. A similar outcome was found in ber by Ghosh (2008). Syamal *et al.* (2012) also achieved comparable outcomes in guava by wedge grafting. Similar results were also observed by Sonawane *et al.* (2012) in carambola. Tewari and Bajpai (2002) reported that highest success of graft of aonla is possible in spring season. Similar research work is supported by Munthaj (2014) in guava and by Parmar *et al.* (2019).

Table-4: Effect of different dates of grafting on graft sprouting and No. of primary branches/graft

| | No. of graft sprouted/ treatment | | | | Number of days taken for sprouting | | | | No. of primary branches/graft | | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|
| | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean |
| W1 | 7.67 | 9.62 | 7.67 | 8.32 | 10.70 | 9.70 | 10.65 | 10.35 | 1.00 | 1.43 | 1.18 | 1.20 |
| W2 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 11.97 | 10.70 | 10.81 | 11.16 | 1.07 | 1.36 | 1.14 | 1.19 |
| W3 | 4.67 | 7.45 | 6.67 | 6.26 | 13.25 | 12.43 | 12.91 | 12.86 | 1.06 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| W4 | 5.00 | 7.00 | 7.33 | 6.44 | 14.01 | 13.52 | 14.09 | 13.87 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 0.96 |
| Mean | 6.08 | 7.77 | 7.17 | | 12.48 | 11.59 | 12.12 | | 1.02 | 1.22 | 1.07 | |
| | W | V | WxV | | W | V | WxV | | W | V | WxV | |
| S.Em± | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.50 | | 0.09 | 0.19 | | | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 | |
| CD 5% | 0.85 | 0.73 | 1.47 | | 0.27 | 0.54 | | | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.17 | |

Table-5: Effect of different dates of grafting on number of leaves at 30, 60 and 90 DAG

| Number of leaves at 30 DAG | | | | Number of leaves at 60 DAG | | | | Number of leaves at 90 DAG | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean |
| 9.16 | 12.25 | 11.89 | 11.10 | 13.28 | 17.70 | 14.67 | 15.22 | 16.85 | 20.10 | 19.56 | 18.84 |
| 8.60 | 8.97 | 8.66 | 8.74 | 12.72 | 13.09 | 12.78 | 12.86 | 15.74 | 17.42 | 16.10 | 16.42 |
| 7.53 | 8.40 | 8.31 | 8.08 | 11.65 | 12.52 | 12.43 | 12.20 | 15.85 | 16.15 | 15.96 | 15.99 |
| 4.71 | 6.60 | 5.75 | 5.68 | 8.83 | 10.72 | 9.87 | 9.80 | 12.77 | 14.25 | 12.85 | 13.29 |
| 7.50 | 9.05 | 8.65 | | 11.62 | 13.51 | 12.44 | | 15.30 | 16.98 | 16.12 | |
| A | B | AxB | | A | B | AxB | | W | V | WxV | |
| 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.76 | | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.99 | | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.76 | |
| 1.29 | 1.11 | NS | | 1.68 | 1.45 | NS | | 1.28 | 1.11 | NS | |

Table-6: Effect of different dates of grafting on height of graft (cm) at 30, 60, 90 DAG

| Height of graft (cm) at 30 DAG | | | | Height of graft (cm) at 60 DAG | | | | Height of graft (cm) at 90 DAG | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | |
| W1 | 4.69 | 5.65 | 5.24 | 5.19 | 10.26 | 10.91 | 9.83 | 10.33 | 15.21 | 15.46 | 15.21 | 15.29 |
| W2 | 4.42 | 5.22 | 4.50 | 4.71 | 9.01 | 11.14 | 9.76 | 9.97 | 12.61 | 16.15 | 15.04 | 14.60 |
| W3 | 4.19 | 4.69 | 4.24 | 4.37 | 8.45 | 9.89 | 9.84 | 9.39 | 13.42 | 15.48 | 14.39 | 14.43 |
| W4 | 1.90 | 3.68 | 2.65 | 2.74 | 7.73 | 9.60 | 7.24 | 8.19 | 12.55 | 14.45 | 11.19 | 12.73 |
| Mean | 3.80 | 4.81 | 4.16 | | 8.86 | 10.38 | 9.17 | | 13.45 | 15.38 | 13.96 | |
| | W | V | WxV | | W | V | WxV | | W | V | WxV | |
| S.Em± | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.51 | | S.Em± | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.32 | S.Em± | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.73 |
| CD 5% | 0.87 | 0.75 | | | CD 5% | 0.85 | 0.73 | 1.47 | CD 5% | 1.27 | 1.07 | NS |

Table-7: Effect of different dates of grafting on scion girth (mm) 30, 60, 90 DAG

| | Scion girth (mm) at 30 DAG | | | | Scion girth (mm) at 60 DAG | | | | Scion girth (mm) at 90 DAG | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------|------------|------|----------------------------|----------|------------|------|----------------------------|----------|------------|------|
| | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean |
| W1 | 4.26 | 4.56 | 4.66 | 4.49 | 4.54 | 4.84 | 5.04 | 4.80 | 4.84 | 5.09 | 5.44 | 5.12 |
| W2 | 4.29 | 4.69 | 4.46 | 4.48 | 4.64 | 5.04 | 4.84 | 4.84 | 4.91 | 5.40 | 5.12 | 5.14 |
| W3 | 4.56 | 4.19 | 4.36 | 4.37 | 4.94 | 4.54 | 4.80 | 4.76 | 5.18 | 4.79 | 5.05 | 5.01 |
| W4 | 4.24 | 4.19 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.69 | 4.61 | 4.78 | 4.69 | 4.92 | 4.89 | 5.01 | 4.94 |
| Mean | 4.34 | 4.41 | 4.51 | | 4.70 | 4.75 | 4.86 | | 4.96 | 5.04 | 5.16 | |
| | W | v | WxV | | W | V | WxV | | W | V | WxV | |
| S.Em± | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.06 | | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 | |
| CD 5% | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.19 | | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.12 | | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.22 | |

Table-8: Effect of different dates of grafting on sprouting % and Survival % of graft

| | Sprouting % | | | | Survival % | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean | V1 | V2 | V3 | Mean |
| W1 | 78.33(8.88) | 96.67(9.86) | 76.67(8.78) | 83.89(9.17) | 96.24(9.84) | 99.71(10.01) | 96.16(9.83) | 97.37(9.89) |
| W2 | 65.00(8.09) | 85.67(9.27) | 70.00(8.38) | 73.56(8.58) | 91.21(9.58) | 97.67(9.91) | 92.59(9.65) | 93.82(9.71) |
| W3 | 66.67(8.16) | 66.67(8.19) | 85.67(9.28) | 73.00(8.54) | 81.57(9.06) | 93.67(9.70) | 87.22(9.36) | 87.49(9.38) |
| W4 | 53.33(7.33) | 73.33(8.59) | 50.00(7.08) | 58.89(7.67) | 83.17(9.15) | 84.52(9.22) | 87.50(9.38) | 85.06(9.25) |
| Mean | 65.83(8.11) | 80.58(8.98) | 70.58(8.38) | | 88.05(9.40) | 93.89(9.71) | 90.87(9.56) | |
| | W | V | WxV | | W | V | WxV | |
| S.Em± | 2.53(0.16) | 2.19(0.14) | 4.39(0.27) | | 0.98(0.05) | 0.85(0.05) | 1.70(0.09) | |
| CD 5% | 7.39(0.46) | 6.40(0.39) | 12.81(0.79) | | 2.87(0.15) | 2.48(0.13) | 4.97(0.27) | |

Conclusion

On the basis of experiment conducted and data recorded, it can be concluded that the plants which were grafted on 20th January with the variety NA-7 (W1V2) was found as the best combination for maximum survival percentage by increasing the number of leaves, scion girth and other related parameters.

REFERENCES

- Beer, K., Yadav, A.L. and Akhilendra, V. 2013. Effect of grafting time and environment on the graft success of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under wedge grafting. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 6: 770-772.
- Bhadra, R.C. 2012. Effect of off-season and variety on the grafting success and survivability of carambola. *Thesis, M.Sc.* Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh, 58p.
- Bhavya, N., Sabarad, I.A. and Nataraj, K.H. 2018. Studies on effect of time of grafting on success of softwood grafting in Karonda (*Carrisa carandas* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(3):2562-2566.
- Bodkhe, V.A. and Rajput, L.V. 2010. Propagation studies in Jamun. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 6(1):250-252.
- Chalise, B., Bhusal, R.Y., Kalauni, S., Giri, K.R. and Binod, L. 2021. Effect of Grafting Dates on Grafttake Success and Sapling Growth of Persian Walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) under Open Field Condition of Jumla, Nepal. *Nepalese Horticulture*, 15:34-43.
- Chander, S., Kumari, S., Nimbolkar, P.K. and Bora, L. 2016. Seasonal variability and environmental condition to grafting success in fruit crops- A review. *Advances in Life Sciences*, 5(16):5812-5816.
- Chandra, R., Jadhav, V.T., Sharma, J. and Marathe, R.A. 2011. Effect of grafting methods and time on scion sprouting, graft success and subsequent growth of grafted plants of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv. Bhagwa. *Acta Horticulturae*, 890(890):83-86.
- Chouksey, S., Bisen, B.P. and Pandey, S.K. 2016. Effect of different season and deblading on wedge grafting in Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lucknow-49. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 8(57):3151-3153.
- Dewangan, S., Ramteke, V., Sharma, G.L., Chandrakar, T., Singh, D.P., Netam, R.S., Adiga, J.D. and Panigrahi, H.K. (2023). Grafting month and environmental condition influences on the success of softwood grafting in Cashew under Baster region of Chhattisgarh. *Emergent Life Sciences Research*, 9(2): 83-92.
- Dhutraj, S.V., Deshmukh, R.V. and Bhagat, V.V. 2018. Standardization of period for softwood grafting in tamarind (*Tamarinds indica* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*; 7(5):439-441.
- Gadekar, A.K., Bharad, S.G., Jogdande, N.D., Raut, V.U. and Pingle, A.N. 2011. Effect of time of grafting on success in Jamun. *Journal of Agriculture Research and Technology*; 36(1):297-298.
- Ghojage, A.H., Swamy, G.S.K., Kanamadi, V.C., Jagdeesh, R.C., Kumar, P., Patil, C.P. and Reddy, B.S. 2011. Effect of season on softwood grafting in Jamun (*Syzygium cumini* Skeels). *Acta Horticulturae*, 890:123-127.
- Ghosh, S.N. 2008. Propagation studies in Ber for commercial multiplication in nursery and in situ. *International Jujube Symposium, Mengjun Liu (ed.)* West Bengal, 321-326.
- Hartmann, H.T., Kester, D.E., Devies, F.T. and Geneve, R.L. 1997. Plant Propagation: Principles and Practices (6th edn.). *Prentice Hall of India Private Limited*, New Delhi, 410-411.
- Jalal, A., Tripathi, S., Kholiya, A., Kumar, A. and Kohli, K. 2018. Response of growing environment in propagation of different cultivars of aonla (*Emblca officinalis* Gaertn). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(5):2267-2271.
- Karna, A.K., Varu, D.K., Patel, M.K. and Panda, P.A. 2018. Effect of grafting time on success of softwood grafting in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(8):3072- 3077.
- Kudmulwar, R.R., Kulkarni, R.M., Bodamwad, S.G., Katkar, P.B. and Dugmod, S.B. 2008. Standardization of soft wood grafting season on success of custard apple (*Annona squamosal* L.). *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*; 3(2):281-282.
- Kumar, K., Aulakh, P. S. and Baidwan, R.P.S. 2017. Standardization of time of budding in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under lower Shivaliks conditions of Punjab. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 36 (1-2): 61-2.
- Lilabati, L. and Sahoo, U.K. 2016. Germination and growth behaviour of seedlings of aonla (*Emblca officinalis*) under different light and nutrient regimes in field conditions. *Science and Technology Journal*; 4(2):2321-2388.
- Muthaj, D. 2014. Studies on improvement of seed germination and season of wedge grafting in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Y.S.R. *Horticultural University*, Andhra Pradesh, India.
- Negi, R.S., Baghel, B.S. and Gupta, A.K. 2010. Standardization of method of orchard

- establishment and propagation in aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) for rehabilitation of degraded pasture/ grazing lands. *Progressive Horticulture*; 44(2):173-178.
- Negi, R.S., Baghel, B.S., Gupta, A.K. and Singh, Y.K. 2010. Standardization of method of orchard establishment and propagation in aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) for rehabilitation of degraded pasture/grazing lands. *Annals of Horticulture*; 3(1):39-61. Same as earlier- delete either one
- NHB, 2021-22. Area and production of horticulture crops for 2021-22 (1st Advance Estimates). *National Horticulture Board*.
- Panse, V.C. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, ICAR, New Delhi.
- Parmar, C. B., Sitapara, H. H., Vasava, S. R. and Chaudhri, H. J. 2019. Effect of different time and growing conditions on growth parameters, success and survival of softwood grafting in mulberry (*Morus nigra* L.) cv. Local. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8: 2674-2677.
- Patel, R.K., Babu, K.D., Singh, A., Yadav, D.S. and De, L.C. 2007. Soft wood grafting in mandarin (*C. reticulata* Blanco): A novel vegetative propagation technique. *International Journal of Fruit Science*, 7(2):31-41.
- Patil, S.D., Deshmukh, P.L. and Purane, A.B. 2017. Standardization of grafting time in custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.) cv. Balanagar. *Trends in Biosciences*, 10(14):2505- 2506.
- Paul, S.R. and Pampanagouda, B. 2010. Effect of cultivars and time of soft wood grafting on graft success and survival in mango. *Indian Journal Agricultural Science*, 30(1): 19-27.
- Rani, S., Sharma, A., Wali, V.K., Bakshi, P. and Ahmed, S. 2015. The standardization of method and time of propagation in guava (*Psidium guajava*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*; 85(9):162-169.
- Reshma, U.R., Bharad, S.G., Satkar, K., Palepad, K.B. 2016. Effect of nature of scion and grafting time on graft success in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Advances in Life Sciences*; 5(11):4609-4613
- Roshan, R. K., Pebam, N. and Panchabhai, D. M. 2013. Effect of rootstock age and time of softwood grafting on grafting success in aonla. Proc. 4th International Symposium on tropical and Subtropical Fruit, *Acta Horticulturae*, 975, 347-350.
- Sharma, C., Thapa, R., Thapaliya, K.P., Ghimire, M.S. and Adhikari, H. 2022. Exploring combinations of grafting time and scion cultivar in walnut grafting success under open field condition. *Heliyon*, 8: e12485. doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12485
- Singh, S., and Singh, A. K. 2007. Standardization of method and time of vegetative propagation in tamarind under semi-arid environment of Western India. *Indian Journal Horticulture*, 64(1): 45-49.
- Sohnika, R., Akash, P., Sharma, A., Wali, V.K., Bakshi P. and Shahnawaz, A. 2015. The standardization of method and time of propagation in guava (*Psidium guajava*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 85(9): 1162-1169.
- Somkuwar, R. G., Satisha, J. and Ramteke, S. D. 2009. Propagation success in relation to time of grafting in Tas-A-Ganesh grapes. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University* 34 (1): 113-4.
- Sonawane, G. R., Khandekan, R. G., Korake, G. N., Haldankar P. M. and Mali, P. C. 2012. Effect of season on softwood grafting in carambola (*Averrhoa carambola* Linn). *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*., 7(2): 412-15.
- Syamal, M. M., Katiya, R. and Mamta, J. 2012. Performance of wedge grafting in guava under different growing conditions. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 69(3): 424- 427.
- Tewari, R.K. and Bajpai, C.K. 2002. Propagation of aonla (*Emblica officinalis*) through grafting in polyhouse. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 72(6): 353-4.
- Wazarkar, S.S., Patel, H.C., Masu, M.M., Parmar, A.B. and Sitapara, H.H. 2009. Effect of grafting dates and grafting materials on softwood grafting in sapota [*Manilkara achras* (Mill.) Fosberg] under middle Gujarat agro climatic conditions. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*; 4(2):434-439.