

# Effect of micro organisms on physiological traits, growth and yield of sunflower under rainfed condition

# S. SUBBULAKSHMI

Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India-628501 Received : 29.08.2022 ; Revised : 05.01.2023 ; Accepted : 16.01.2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2023.v19.i1.1685

### ABSTRACT

The research trial was conducted during 2017-18 at ARS, Kovilpatti, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University to know the effect of various bio-fertilizers on the growth, post-anthesis stress and yield of sunflower under dry land. The research trial was laid out in randomized block design with sixteen numbers of treatments, including single and different combination of silicate solubilizer, sulphur oxidizer, pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs and Vesicular Arbuscular Mychorrhizae and replicated thrice. The results revealed that, combined application of seed and soil application of silicate solubilizer and sulphur oxidizer + soil application of Vesicular ArbuscularMycorrhizae+pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs spray registered significantly higher plant height (172.7 cm), leaf area index (2.44), dry matter production (4120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), number of seeds capitulum (1150), 1000-seed weight (55.7 g), yield (1990 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and gross returns (59,700 ha<sup>-1</sup>). The economic study revealed that higher net return of Rs. 31779 ha<sup>-1</sup> and B: C ratio of 2.36 were recorded by a combination of silicate solubilizer + pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs spray. The relative leaf water content and soil moisture recorded at 65 DAS shows that higher leaf relative water content (77%) and available soil moisture (33.3%) were recorded by the combined application of seed and soil application of seed and soil application of vesicular ArbuscularMycorrhizae+pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs spray.

Keywords: Pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs, silicate solubilizer, sulphur oxidizer, sunflower, VAM, yield

Sunflower is a major source of vegetable oil in the world. In India, sunflower is cultivated in 4.1 lakh ha and the production is 2840000 m.t with productivity of 709 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Average of 2014-15 to 2018-19) (Anonymous, 2020). Sunflower is mostly cultivated under rainfed condition with least chemical fertilizer. Sunflower is an exhaustive crop and inadequate and imbalanced crop nutrition affects production. Drought is one of the major destructive environmental stress, which drastically reduces the crop yield than any other environmental stress. For guaranteed food security, crops must be escaped from the impact of drought and nutrient deficiency.

Microorganisms are well known mineralizer under different stress conditions hence ensure improved mineral uptake. Usage of biofertilizers is one of the important components of integrated nutrient management. Different colonies of microorganisms present in the soil, help the plants able to grow under unfavorable environmental conditions. Agriculture sector becomes sustainable because of different micro organism available in the soil (Kumar *et al.*, 2017; Kumar *et al.*, 2016; Rana *et al.*, 2018).

Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylotrophs (PPFMs) expel auxins and cytokinins that help the plants to

tolerate water stress and influence germination and root growth (Doronina et al., 2002). Pattanashetti (2012) explained that growth of plants, chlorophyll content and tuber yield were augmented due to PPFMs treatment. PPFM spray along with mulching increased the yield of seed cotton because of better relative water content (RWC) and chlorophyll stability index (CSI) thereby enhanced translocation of photosynthates (Rajasekar et al., 2016; Srinivasan and Aanathi, 2017; Kannan et al., 2019). Osmo-protectants like sugars and alcohols are exuded from the surface of plants due to methylotrophs which protect the plants from dehydration and excessive radiations (Manish and Divjot 2019). Nysanth et al. (2019) found that, paddy growth and yield was significantly increased by application of PPFM isolates.

VAM application augments the plant growth by increasing the availability of essential plant nutrients like P, Zn, Cu and S. Suri *et al.* (2011) reported that inoculation of VAM along with 75% recommended  $P_2O_5$  dose significantly increased available N and P status. Extra-radical hyphal mycelium was significantly higher in AMF-inoculated soil than uninoculated AMF soils (Yamsiyah *et al.*, 2018).

Short Communication Email: sumiagri@rediffmail.com

How to cite : Subbulakshmi, S. 2023. Effect of micro organisms on physiological traits, growth and yield of sunflower under rainfed condition. *J. Crop and Weed*, 19(1): 237-243.

#### Effect of micro organisms on physiological traits

Sulphur is an important essential nutrient for oil seed crops which increases yield, oil content and protein content. Sulphur nutrition also promotes the efficiency of both nitrogenous and phosphorus fertilizers and other micronutrients uptake (Shrivastava et al., 2018). Phosphorus and silicon are available in the earth's crust abundantantly, but nutrient requirement of crops are generally met through the applied fertilizer. Insoluble phosphate and silicate are solubilized by Silicate Solubilizing Bacteria (SSB) and also it alleviates toxicity of heavy metal in crops and increases plant growth promoting attributes (Arjun et al., 2020). There is an urgent need to alleviate drought stress and economize the cultivation cost of rainfed farmers. Hence, this research was undertaken to find out the consequence of different microbial organisms on the morphological traits, post anthesis stress management, yield and economics of sunflower under rainfed conditions.

The research experiment was conducted during 2017-18 at Agricultural Research Station (8º48' and 9º20' North latitude and 78º25' east longitude at 90 MSL), Kovilpatti, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India to study the influences of biofertilizers and microorganisms on the performance of sunflower hybrid under rainfed conditions. The treatments were as follows, T<sub>1</sub> - Control, T<sub>2</sub>- Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser, T<sub>3</sub>-Seed and soil application of sulphur oxidizer, T<sub>4</sub> - Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser and sulphur oxidizer, T<sub>5</sub> - Soil application of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae (VAM), T<sub>6</sub> - Pink pigmented Facultative Methylotrophs (PPFM) spray on 50 and 65 DAS,  $T_7$  -Soil application of VAM+PPFM spray on 50 and 65 DAS, T<sub>o</sub>-Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser + soil application of VAM,  $T_{q}$  - Seed and soil application of sulphur oxidizer+soil application of VAM, T<sub>10</sub> - Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser+PPFM spray on 50 and 65 DAS,  $T_{11}$  - Seed and soil application of sulphur oxidizer+PPFM spray on 50 and 65 DAS, T<sub>12</sub>-Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser and sulphur oxidizer+ soil application of VAM, T<sub>13</sub> - Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser and sulphur oxidizer+PPFM spray on 50 and 65 DAS, T<sub>14</sub> - Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser+soil application of VAM+PPFM spray on 50 and 65 DAS, T<sub>15</sub> - Seed and soil application of sulphur oxidizer+soil application of VAM+PPFM spray on 50 and 65 DAS,  $T_{16}$  - Seed and soil application of silicate solubiliser and sulphur oxidizer+soil application of VAM+PPFM spray on 50 and 65 DAS. The research experiment was conducted in randomized block design (RBD) and replicated thrice. The texture of soil was clay and structure was sub angular blocky having. EC:0.22 dSm<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>, pH: 8.1, available N: 156 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available P: 13.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and available K: 360 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Sunflower hybrid CO-2 was sown in the spacing of  $60 \times 30$  cm during the end of October as per the treatment schedule.

Inorganic fertilizers @ 40: 50: 40 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>was applied as urea, Di-ammonium Phosphate (DAP) and Muriate of Potash (MOP). The crop was raised under rainfed condition. Data on plant height, LAI, Dry Matter Production (DMP), capitulum diameter (cm), capitulum weight (g), filled seeds/ capitulum, seed weight/ capitulum, seed density (g 100ml<sup>-1</sup>), test weight (1000 seed) and sunflower seed yield were recorded replication wise. The sunflower yield (seed) from the net plot area was weighed as kg plot<sup>-1</sup> after drying and presented in kilogram hectare<sup>-1</sup>. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Growth and yield attributes and yield were statistically analyzed using AGRES computer software. Treatment means were compared using a partition of the sum of squares. Canopy temperature was directly measured with the help of an infrared thermometer and presented in Table 2.

Water status of the plant was assessed by measuring the Relative Water Content (RWC) in leaf tissues. Relative leaf water content was measured by the procedure as follows. With the help of sharp razor blade, the base of the leaf was cut and it was weighed immediately to know the Fresh Mass (FM). The weight of fresh mass should be more than 0.5 g as suggested by Clausen and Kozlowski (1965). Then the leaves were floated in the closed petri dish containing distilled water. Mean while for getting Turgid Mass (TM) samples were periodically weighed until constant weight obtained. Before taking turgid weight, the leaf samples were wiped gently with the help of tissue paper. The petri dishes were kept in dim light (around 20 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) under normal room temperature. After taking turgid weight, the leaf samples were kept at 80°C for 48 hours in an hot air oven for getting dry mass (DM) (Catsky, 1974; Turner, 1981). The RWC was calculated by substituting different values like FM, TM, and DM in the following equation:

RWC (%) = [(FM - DM)/(TM - DM)] \* 100.

Increased plant height (172.7 cm),LAI (2.44) and DMP (4120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded by seed treatment along with soil application of Silicate solubilizer and Sulphur oxidizer + soil application of VAM+PPFM spray (Table 1). Hyphal network formed by AM fungi in the plant roots significantly increased the roots access to large soil surface area thereby enhancing the plant growth (Bowles *et al.* 2016). Nautiyal *et. al* (2013) found that, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (NBRISN13 -SN13) inoculation on paddy plants increased growth of plants and tolerance to salt (NaCl 200 mM). It was found that, inoculation with sulphur-oxidizing bacteria

| Table 1: Effect of treatment on growth at        | ttributes, y            | ield attril           | outes and yield                                    | of sunflower                  |                            |  |  |                            |                                 |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Treatments                                       | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | Leaf<br>Aear<br>Index | Dry Matter<br>Production<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Capitulum<br>diameter<br>(cm) | Capitulum<br>weight<br>(g) | Number of<br>filled seeds<br>capitulum <sup>-1</sup> | Weight<br>density<br>(g 100 ml <sup>-1</sup> ) | 1000 seed<br>weight<br>(g) | Yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| T Control  | 154.4                   | 1.60                  | 3420   | 12.2                          | 77.0                       | 006  | 38.6   | 49.2                       | 1580                            |
| T'- Seed and SA of Silitcate solubilizer         | 157.2                   | 1.73                  | 3600   | 12.8                          | 86.4                       | 950  | 40.6   | 50.5                       | 1680                            |
| $T_3^2$ - Seed and SA of Sulphur oxidiser        | 158.1                   | 1.63                  | 3410   | 12.1                          | 78.0                       | 910  | 38.7   | 49.1                       | 1560                            |
| $T_{3}^{'}$ - Seed and SA of T, and $T_{3}$      | 158.4                   | 1.74                  | 3580   | 12.9                          | 87.1                       | 955  | 40.7   | 50.8                       | 1700                            |
| T <sup>2</sup> - SA of VAM                       | 161.8                   | 2.10                  | 3760   | 13.4                          | 91.5                       | 1070   | 42.7   | 51.4                       | 1740                            |
| T <sup>2</sup> - PPFM spray                      | 155.4                   | 1.69                  | 3700   | 12.9                          | 90.5                       | 1085   | 43.6   | 51.9                       | 1780                            |
| $T_{7}$ - SA of VAM+PPFM spray                   | 162.5                   | 2.30                  | 3830   | 13.5                          | 93.1                       | 1100   | 44.4   | 52.9                       | 1810                            |
| $T_{s}$ - $T_{z}$ +SA of VAM                     | 166.6                   | 2.37                  | 3800   | 13.7                          | 90.3                       | 1120   | 43.2   | 51.7                       | 1780                            |
| T <sub>o</sub> - T <sub>i</sub> +SA of VAM       | 166.8                   | 2.12                  | 3730   | 13.5                          | 91.4                       | 1060   | 42.8   | 51.5                       | 1760                            |
| $T_{10}$ - $T_3$ +PPFM spray                     | 162.7                   | 1.71                  | 3790   | 13.7                          | 93.3                       | 1135   | 44.2   | 52.2                       | 1840                            |
| $T_{11}$ - $T_{2}$ + PPFM spray                  | 161.0                   | 1.70                  | 3710   | 13.0                          | 90.2                       | 1090   | 43.5   | 51.8                       | 1800                            |
| $T_{12}$ - $T_{23}$ and $T_{33}$ +SA of VAM      | 168.3                   | 2.30                  | 3870   | 13.8                          | 90.5                       | 1115   | 43.1   | 51.6                       | 1760                            |
| $T_{i_1} - T_{j_2}$ and $T_{j_1} + PPFM$ spray   | 167.5                   | 1.72                  | 3810   | 13.8                          | 93.2                       | 1130   | 44.30  | 52.4                       | 1830                            |
| $T_{14}$ - $T_2$ + SA of VAM+PPFM spray          | 169.4                   | 2.43                  | 4100   | 14.4                          | 101.0                      | 1140   | 45.5   | 55.6                       | 1985                            |
| $T_{15}$ - $T_{3}$ + SA of VAM+PPFM spray        | 170.5                   | 2.33                  | 3850   | 13.5                          | 92.9                       | 1110   | 44.30  | 53.0                       | 1830                            |
| $T_{16}$ - $T_2$ and $T_3$ +SA of VAM+PPFM Spray | 172.7                   | 2.44                  | 4120   | 14.5                          | 101.1                      | 1150   | 45.6   | 55.7                       | 1990                            |
| SEm (±)  | 5.9                     | 0.11                  | 188  | 0.7                           | 4.5                        | 53   | 2.1  | 2.6                        | 89                              |
| LSD (0.05)                                       | NS                      | 0.22                  | 383  | 1.4                           | 9.2                        | 108  | 4.3  | NS                         | 182                             |
| Note : SA is short form of Soil Application      |                         |                       |  |                               |                            |  |  |                            |                                 |

J. Crop and Weed, 19(1)

239

Subbulakshmi

| Table 2: Effect of treatment on canopy 1                                | emperatur         | e, RWC, soi          | il moisture ar  | nd economics        | of sunflowe     | L                      |                      |                      |      |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------|
| Treatments  | Canopy 1<br>diff  | emperature<br>erence | Leaf<br>RWC (%) | Soil moist<br>at 65 | ture (%)<br>DAS | Cost of<br>cultivation | Gross<br>return      | Net<br>return        | BCR  |
|   |                   |                      | at 65 DAS       |                     |                 | Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup>   | Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> | Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> |      |
|   | Bud<br>initiation | Peak<br>flowering    |                 | 15 cm               | 30 cm           |                        |                      |                      |      |
| -<br>-<br>-<br>-  |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| T <sub>1</sub> - Control  | -1.2              | -0.9                 | 62              | 27.2                | 31.0            | 22621                  | 47400                | 24779                | 2.10 |
| T <sub>2</sub> - Seed and SA of Silicate solubilizer                    | -2.2              | -1.8                 | 99              | 27.8                | 31.6            | 22721                  | 50400                | 27679                | 2.22 |
| T <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> - Seed and SA of Sulphur oxidiser           | -1.2              | -                    | 63              | 27.3                | 31.1            | 22621                  | 46800                | 24179                | 2.07 |
| $T_4^3$ - Seed and SA of Silicate solubilizer                           | -2.5              | -2.1                 | 65              | 27.9                | 31.7            | 22721                  | 51000                | 28279                | 2.24 |
| and Sulphur oxidiser  |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| T <sub>s</sub> - SA of VAM  | -2.6              | -2.2                 | 68              | 27.6                | 32.2            | 33721                  | 52200                | 18479                | 1.55 |
| $T_{\vec{k}}$ - PPFM spray  | -2.9              | -2.6                 | 70              | 27.5                | 31.4            | 23221                  | 53400                | 30179                | 2.30 |
| $T_{\tau}$ - SA of VAM+PPFM spray                                       | -3.4              | -3.1                 | 74              | 28.7                | 32.5            | 34421                  | 54300                | 19879                | 1.58 |
| T <sup>°</sup> - Seed and SA of Silicate                                | -3.6              | -3.2                 | 70              | 28.9                | 32.7            | 33921                  | 53400                | 19479                | 1.57 |
| solubilizer+SA of VAM   |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| T <sub>9</sub> - Seed and SA of Sulphur oxidiser+<br>SA of VAM          | -2.2              | -1.8                 | 67              | 27.2                | 32.1            | 33721                  | 52800                | 19079                | 1.57 |
| T <sub>10</sub> - Seed and SA of Silicate solubilizer+                  | -3.6              | -3.3                 | 73              | 28.1                | 32.0            | 23421                  | 55200                | 31779                | 2.36 |
| PPFM spray  |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| T <sub>11</sub> - Seed and SA of Sulphur oxidiser+<br>PPFM spray        | -2.9              | -2.4                 | 71              | 27.4                | 31.6            | 23221                  | 54000                | 30779                | 2.33 |
| $T_{12}$ - Seed and SÅ of Silicate solubilizer                          | -3.8              | -3.1                 | 70              | 29                  | 32.9            | 33921                  | 52800                | 18879                | 1.56 |
| and Sulphur oxidiser+SA of VAM  |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| T <sub>13</sub> - Seed and SA of Silicate solubilizer                   | -3.9              | -3.4                 | 72              | 28                  | 32.2            | 23421                  | 54900                | 31479                | 2.34 |
| and Sulphur oxidiser+PPFM spray   |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| $T_{I_4}$ -Seed and SA of Silicate solubilizer+<br>SA of VAM+PPFM spray | -4.5              | 4-                   | 77              | 29.3                | 33.2            | 34621                  | 59550                | 24929                | 1.72 |
| $T_{15}$ - Seed and SA of Sulphuroxidiser +                             | -3.1              | -2.8                 | 74              | 28.5                | 32.4            | 34421                  | 54900                | 20479                | 1.59 |
| SA of VAM+PPFM spray  |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| T <sub>16</sub> - Seed and SA of Silicate solubilizer                   | -4.3              | 4-                   | 77              | 29.2                | 33.3            | 34621                  | 59700                | 25079                | 1.72 |
| and Sulphur oxidiser+SA of VAM+<br>PPFM Spray                           |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |
| Note : SA is short form of Soil Application                             |                   |                      |                 |                     |                 |                        |                      |                      |      |

Effect of micro organisms on physiological traits

J. Crop and Weed, 19(1)

240

(*Thiobacillus*) increased growth of plants and dry matter of onion when compared to those plants grown without inoculation. Nysanth *et al.* (2019) found that, PPFM application significantly improved growth, dry matter production and paddy grain yield. Hence combined application of all microbial organisms significantly increased the growth attributes of crops.

The yield attributes *viz.*, capitulum diameter (14.5 cm), capitulum weight (101.1 g), number of filled seeds capitulum<sup>-1</sup>(1150 nos.), seed density (45.6 g 100 ml<sup>-1</sup>), and seed test weight (55.7 g) were significantly higher in the combined application of seed and soil application of Silicate solubilizer and Sulphur oxidizer+soil application of VAM + PPFM Spray (Table 1).

Inoculation with VAM fungi increased chlorophyll content, higher photosynthetic rate, nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase activity in leaves and maintained it even at the later stage of growth (90 DAS) thereby improved the growth and yield (5.4 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) in wheat (Panwar, 1999). Santi et al. (2018) observed that, oil palm seedlings treated with bio-silica showed better root growth under drought and also improved the leaf Chlorophyll Content (CC) 20% than control. Based on two years of study, Chaudhary et al. (2019) found that, mustard seeds inoculated with Silicate Solubilizing Bacteria (SSB) oxidized the sulphide compounds to easily absorbable form (sulphate) by plants. Better absorption of sulphate by plants resulted in enhanced mustard growth parameters viz., height, dry matter production, number of siliquae, weight of seed, oil content, leaves protein content and viable rhizospheric bacterial count. Pattanashetti (2012) reported that Coleus plant treated with PPFMs showed increased plant height, stem girth, CC, DMP, LAI and tuber yield.

Significantly higher sunflower seed yield of 1990 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>was registered by the seed and soil application of silicate solubilizer and sulphur oxidizer + soil application of VAM + PPFM Spray (Table 1). Anandham et al. (2007) found that sulphur oxidizer inoculation increased the available form of sulphur from 7.4 to 8.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in soil and thereby increased the groundnut oil content. Soil application of 25 t fly ash ha<sup>-1</sup> + silicate solubilizing bacteria (SSB) + FYM registered 16.3 per cent higher yield (3710 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than control (Pedda et al., 2016). Kannan et al. (2019) found that application of *Prosopis* biochar (a) 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + mulching with crop residue @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and PPFM foliar spray @ 500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup> on 75 and 90 DAS increased seed cotton yield by 59% and 61 % during summer and winter respectively over control due to increased RLWC, proline accumulation in leaf and CSI. Cucumber seedlings inoculated with AM fungi significantly increased the availability of macro and micronutrients which augmented the photosynthate productions thereby higher DMP (Chen *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, combined application of PPFM, VAM, silicate solubilizer and sulphur oxidizer have undoubtedly increased the yield of sunflower under rainfed conditions.

The canopy temperature recorded at bud initiation and peak flowering stages showed negative value in all treatments indicating that there was no stress at this stages as the rainfall during the period were sufficient enough to keep the soil with more available soil moisture. The relative leaf water content and soil moisture recorded at 65 DAS showed that higher RWC (77%) and ASM (33.3%) were recorded by the combined application of seed and soil application of silicate solubilizer and with or without sulphur oxidizer + soil application of VAM + PPFM Spray (Table 2). VAM inoculation significantly increased the leaf surface also antioxidant enzymes area and like super dismutase and catalase, proline and water content, hydrogen peroxide and carotenoids in leaves as well as in roots (Rafia and Moin, 2019). PPFM spray in paddy significantly enhanced the plant physiological parameters viz., cell membrane stability, chlorophyll and proline content and also grain yield (46.30g hill<sup>-1</sup>) over control (grain yield of 33.65g hill<sup>-1</sup>) (Nysanth et al. 2019).

Liu *et al.* (2015) found that Si application in sorghum increased the hydraulic and stomatal conductance, RWC and transpiration under osmotic stress. Root length and its surface area decide the plant nutrient uptake. If root surface area is high, the uptake of diffusible ions also high because of more exposed sites for uptake. However, the treatment which received PPFM spray invariable of other bio-fertilizer combination recorded higher relative water content percentage compared to other treatments (Table 2). Kannan *et al.*(2019) found that higher RWC, CSI, less proline content and higher seed cotton yield was recorded by combined effect of irrigation at IW/ CPE to 0.8 + Prosopis biochar @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + mulching with crop residue @5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + spraying of PPFM @ 500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> on 75 and 90 DAS.

Higher gross return of Rs. 59,700 ha<sup>-1</sup>was recorded by the combined application of seed and soil application of silicate solubilizer and sulphur oxidizer+soil application of VAM+PPFM Spray. The higher net return of Rs. 31779 ha<sup>-1</sup> and B: C ratio of 2.36 were recorded by combined application of seed and soil application of silicate solubilizer+PPFM spray(Table 2) due to the low cost of bio fertilizer and high cost of VAM fertilizer.

# CONCLUSION

The combined application of seed and soil application of silicate solubilizer and sulphur oxidiser + soil application of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae (VAM) + PinkPigmented Facultative Methylotrophs (PPFM) was found best in influencing higher yield and gross return. However combined application of seed and soil application of silicate solubilizer + Pink-Pigmented Facultative Methylotrophs (PPFM) spray gave highest net return and B:C under rainfed condition

## REFERENCES

- Anandham, R., Sridar, P., Nalayini, S., Poonguzhali, M. and Madhaiyan, T.S. 2007. Potential for plant growth promotion in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cv. ALR-2 by co-inoculation of sulfur- oxidizing bacteria and Rhizobium. *Microbiol. Res.*, 162: 139-153.
- Anonymous 2020. Constraints in sunflower production. Available at https://agricoop.nic.in > Mustard\_JS Crop\_OilSeed accessed on 20.05.2021
- Arjun, A., Ko-Eun, L., Khan, M.A., Sang-Mo, K., Bishnu,A., Muhammad, I., Rahmatullah, J., Kyung-Min, K. and In-Jung, L. 2020. Effect of silicate and phosphate solubilizing *Rhizobacterium Enterobacterludwigii* GAK2 on *Oryza sativa L*. under cadmium stress. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., **30**: 118-126.
- Bowles, T.M., Barrios-Masias, F.H., Carlisle, E.A., Cavagnaro, T.R. and Jackson, L.E. 2016. Effects of *Arbuscular mycorrhizae* on tomato yield, nutrient uptake, water relations, and soil carbon dynamics under deficit irrigation in field conditions. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **566**: 1223-1234.
- Catsky, J. 1974. Direct methods of water content determination. In: SLAVIK, B. (Ed.) Methods of studying plant water relations. Berlin, Springer-Verlag,121-123.
- Chen, S., Zhao, H., Zou, C., Li, Y., Chen, Y and Wang, Z. 2017. Combined inoculation with multiple *Arbuscular mycorrhizal* fungi improves growth, nutrient uptake and photosynthesis in cucumber seedlings. *Front. Microbiol.*, 8: 25-16.
- Clausen, J.J. and Kozlowski, T.T. 1965. Use of the relative turgidity technique for measurement of water stresses in gymnosperm leaves. *Canadian J. Bot.*, 43: 305-316.
- Chaudhary, S., Thakur, N., Bishnoi, S. and Goyal, S. 2019. Role of sulphur oxidizing bacteria inoculated mustard in livestock production via effect on mustard growth. *Pharma Innov. J.*, 8: 49-52
- Doronina, N.V., Ivanova E.G andTrotsenko Y.A. 2002. New evidence for the ability of methylobacteria and methanotrophs to synthesize auxins. *Microbiol.*, **71**: 116-118.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, U.S.A.

- Kannan, V., Srinivasan, G. and Sivakumar, T. 2019.Influence of biochar, mulch and PPFM on stress physiological parameters of cotton under moisture stressed condition. *Int. J. Bio-resour. Stress Managem.*, **10**: 312-318.
- Kumar, M., Tomar, R.S., Lade, H., Paul, D. 2016. Methylotrophic bacteria in sustainable agriculture. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 32: 120.
- Kumar, M., Saxena, R. and Tomar, R.S. 2017. Endophytic microorganisms: promising candidate as biofertilizer. In: Microorganisms for green revolution. Springer, pp 77–85. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-981-10- 6241-4 4
- Santi, L.P., Haris, N. and Mulyanto, D. 2016. Effect of bio-silica on drought tolerance in plants.IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science,183, 012014 doi :10.1088/1755-1315/183/1/012014
- Liu, P., Yin, L., Wang, S., Zhang, M., Deng, X. and Zhang, S. 2015. Enhanced root hydraulic conductance by aquapor in regulation accounts for silicon alleviated salt-induced osmotic stress in *Sorghum bicolor* L. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, **111**: 42-51.
- Manish, K. and Divjot, K. 2019. Biodiversity of methylotrophic microbial communities and their potential role in mitigation of abiotic stresses in plants.*Biol.*, 74: 287-308.
- Nysanth, N.S.,MeenaKumari,K.S.,Syriac, E.K. and Beena, R. 2019. Screening of pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs for growth enhancement in paddy. *Biocatalysis Agric. Biotechnol.*, 18: 101055. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101055
- Nautiyal, C.S., Suchi Srivastava, S., Puneet Chauhan, P., Karishma Seem, Aradhana Mishra and Sopory, S.K. 2013. Plant growth-promoting bacteria *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*NBRISN13 modulates gene expression profile of leaf and rhizosphere community in rice during salt stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 66C: 1-9.
- Panwar, J.D.S. 1999. Effect of VAM and Azosplrillum brasilense on photo- synthesis, nitrogen metabolism and grain yield in wheat.*Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, XXXIV: 357-361.
- Pattanashetti, S.R. 2012. Isolation and characterization of pink pigmented facultative methylotrophs from *Coleus forskohlii* and their influence on growth and tuber yield. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis.University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, pp. 73p.
- Pedda, S.K., Ghouse, M., Balasubramaniam, P. and Mahendran, P.P. 2016. Performance of silicate solubilizing bacteria on silicon uptake and yield of rice under lowland ecosystem. J. Appl. Nat. Sci., 8: 55-59.

J. Crop and Weed, 19(1)

- Rafia, A. and Moin, S. 2019. The remediation of drought stress under VAM inoculation through proline chemical transformation action. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol., 193: 155-161.
- Rajasekar, M., Srinivasan, G. and Arunvenkatesh, S. 2016. Evaluation of agro techniques for moisture stress management in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Progressive Res. – An Int. J.*, **11:** 3234-3227.
- Rana, K.L., Kour, D., Sheikh, I., Yadav, N., Yadav, A.N, Kumar, V., Singh, B.P., Dhaliwal, H.S. and Saxena, A.K. 2018. Biodiversity of endophytic fungi from diverse niches and their biotechnological applications. In: Singh, B.P., (ed) Advances in endophytic fungal research. Springer, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03589-1
- Shrivastava, L.K., Awanish Kumar, A., Senger, S.S., Mishra, V.N. and Panda, A. 2018. Influence of zypmite on productivity and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) crop under rainfed condition Chhattisgarh plain region. *Legume Res.* 41: 95–101.

- Srinivasan, G. and Aananthi, N. 2017. Effect of moisture stress management practices on growth, yield and quality of hirsutum cotton. *J. Cotton Res. Develop.*, **31:** 82-86.
- Suri, V.K., Anil, K.C., Chander, G. and Verma, T.S. 2011. Influence of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi and applied phosphorus on root colonization in wheat and plant nutrient dynamics in a phosphorus-deficient acid alfisol of Western Himalayas. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Analysis*, 42: 1177-1186.
- Turner, N.C. 1981. Techniques and experimental approaches for the measurement of plant water status. *Plant Soil*, **58**: 339-366.
- Yamsiyah, J., Herawati, A. and Mujiyo, 2018. The potential of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi application on aggregrate stability in alfisol soil. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 142: 012045. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/142/ 1/012045