

Combining ability and heterosis for fibre yield, fibre quality and yield attributing traits in tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) under normal and drought conditions

*A. SAWARKAR, ¹S. YUMNAM AND ²S. MUKHERJEE

School of Agriculture and Rural Development, IRDM, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, Narendrapur, West Bengal, India-700103,¹Central Agriculture University, Imphal-795004, India²Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, West Bengal-741252, India

Received : 05.12.2022 ; Revised : 18.01.2023 ; Accepted : 02.02.2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2023.v19.i1.1676

ABSTRACT

Combining ability and heterosis analysis was carried out with 9 parents including 6 lines and 3 testers, in line x tester design in Corchorus olitorius L. under normal and drought condition. The significance of GCA variance was found in days to 50% flowering, stomatal breadth at 45 and 75 days, plant height and fineness under normal and drought, stomatal breadth at 30 days, node number, base and top diameter in normal and green weight, dry stick weight and fibre weight in drought. The significant SCA variance was observed in all the traits in both the conditions except for base diameter in normal and days to 50% flowering in drought. Under drought, OIJ 177 was found good combiner for node number, mid diameter, green and fibre weight, while, JRO 632 was superior for node number and length, mid and top diameter, green weight, dry stick and fibre weight. JRO524 X OIJ177, JRO632 X OEX29, OIN970 X OIJ177, JRO8432 X OIJ177, JRO3690 X OIN791 and JRO8432 X OEX29 were found having significant desirable SCA effect in drought for a number of yield attributing traits may give rise to useful transgressive segregations in advance generations.

Keywords: Corchorus olitorius, drought tolerant, GCA, heterosis, line x tester, SCA, tossa jute

Jute, adorably called as "Golden Fibre", is extracted from the bark of plant. C. olitorius, one of the cultivated species of jute, belongs to Malvaceae family, contributing 2n=24 number of chromosomes. The olitorius species, commonly known as tossa jute is believed to be originated in Africa. Jute is completely biodegradable and recyclable. In India, West Bengal is leading state in raw production of jute and it is followed by Bihar, Assam, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh, Meghalaya and Tripura. Tossa jute covers 90% of the area for the production while white jute covers only 10% area of the total jute in India. The government expert committee has proposed raw jute supply for 2022-23 to be 18 per cent which is higher than in 2021-22 to 95 lakh bales. The jute leaves are very nutritious, to beta carotene, rich in iron, protein, calcium, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, and dietary fiber besides they provide greens or pot herb after blanching in hot water (Sawarkar et al., 2015).

Among abiotic stresses, drought is the most important abiotic factors that affect the growth and development. In jute cultivation, initial establishing of seedling is most important event which rarely happens due to uncertain moisture reserve in the soil profile coupled with high temperature in summer months (March to May), which frequently leads to crop failure or poor fibre yield (Sawarkar et al., 2016). In the era of climate change, because of unfavourable climatic conditions, jute fibre yield and quality are gradually decreasing nowadays. The two components i.e. temperature and rainfall are mostly responsible for the drought environment and also dominating components in the jute production. Due to the fluctuating temperature accompanied with erratic rainfall, jute is often subjected to phasic spell of moisture stress during early growth stage which might cause 20 to 30% loss of fibre yield and decrease the fibre quality (Yumnam et al., 2017 and Dhar et al., 2018). The evergrowing population, global warming, inadequacy and unsuitability water resources are depleting rapidly (Boamah et al., 2011). Furthermore, the selection of favourable genotypes with desirable traits needs to detected in developing high vielding varieties. However, limited breeding work has been carried out for improving yield and its components in jute using combining ability. Combining ability studies help in identifying potential lines to get desirable segregates in hybridization (Kumar and Palve, 1995). In order to choose appropriate parents and crosses

Email: annu.sawarkar@gmail.com

How to cite : Sawarkar, A., Yumnam, S. and Mukherjee, S. 2023. Combining ability and heterosis for fibre yield, fibre quality and yield attributing traits in tossa jute (*Corchorusolitorius* L.) under normal and drought conditions. *J.Crop and Weed*, 19(1): 173-185.

among various mating designs used for assessing the breeding value of parents in early generation, line x tester analysis method has been used widely by plant breeders. The present study evaluated parents and hybrids produced from line x tester mating design. The aim of this study was to determine the general combining ability of the parents and the specific combining ability and the heterosis of the hybrids in the breeding programme to develop high yielding variety under drought condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Instructional Farm, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Jaguli, West Bengal under two environments, i) fully irrigated field and ii) drought stress field. In the experiment line x tester design was followed where 9 parents were chosen on the basis of various yield contributing and drought resistance traits. The parents were 3 testers viz., OEX 29, OIJ 177, OIN 791 and 6 lines viz., JRO 524,JRO 632, JRO 3690, JRO 8432, OIJ 214 and OIN 970.

18 F_1 's along with their parents were sown in randomised block design with three replications. The recommended agronomical package of practices were followed. The major recommended nutrients doses of 40 N: 20 P: 20 K kg per hectare was applied, where Phosphrous and Potash were applied as basal dose before sowing, considering physical and chemical properties of soil, presented in Table 1. Intercultural operations like weeding, hoeing and thinning were done after 21 days of germination.

The individual plot size was 2m long and 60 cm wide with a spacing of 30 cm between rows and 7 cm between plants within the rows.Under normal condition irrigation was given in different growth stages- 1st irrigation- presowing, 2nd irrigation- after 15 days of sowing, 3rd irrigation-21 days after 2nd irrigation and 4th irrigation-30 days after 3rd irrigation. The drought condition was created in the field by watering the field upto 50% field capacity (half of the field capacity of the field) before sowing. When the plants started dying (failed to recover from wilting next morning) the drought field again watered for half of the field capacity except days to 50% flowering, which was studied on plot basis stomatal length(μ m) and breadth(μ m) at 30, 45 and 75 days were taken under electronic microscope (Nayeem and Dalvi,1989). The plant height (main stem from ground level to the point of forking at pre-bud stage) (cm), node number (nodes on the main stem from soil surface to technical height), node length (length between

two nodes), basal, mid and top diameter (using Vernier calliper scale) (cm), bark thickness (using Vernier calliper scale) (mm), green weight (weight of stick/core after retting, fibre extraction and drying) (g), dry stick weight (g), fibre tenacity ((g/tex) and fineness(tex) and fibre weight (g) were recorded from ten plants randomly selected from each parent and cross from each replication of two environments. The fibre tenacity or strength was determined by fibre bundle strength tester and fibre fineness was measured by airflow method using Airflow Fibre Fineness Tester in replicated samples (Singh and Bandyopadhyay, 1968), which is widely used for assessing fineness in natural fibres. Heterosis of each was calculated based on parents vs. crosses, sum of squares by partitioning the sum of squares of the genotype to its components. The general combining ability (GCA) variance of parents and the specific combining ability(SCA) variance of hybrids were estimated via line x tester variance analysis according to Singh and Chaudhury (1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance

The analysis of variance for yield and its contributing traits under normal and drought conditions were shown in Table 2. All the traits studied under drought and regular irrigation conditions, the mean squares of the genotypes with respect to parents, crosses, and parents vs. crosses, were significantly different except fibre weight in normal condition, indicating the presence of diverse germplasm resulting into diverged hybrids. Under drought condition parent vs hybrid was significantly differed for all the yield attributing characters except for node number. On the contrary, Ghoshdastidar and Das (1982) found significant variation among parents only for plant height and variation among hybrid and parent vs hybrid was significant for plant height, basal diameter and fibre yield. Kumar et al. (2011) reported defficient in significant differences among parents, hybrids and parent vs hybrid. In present investigation under normal condition there were no significant differences among parent vs. hybrid for base diameter, bark thickness, green weight and fibre tenacity. Only lines showed significant variation for few characters viz. days to 50% flowering, stomatal breadth at 45 and 75 days, plant height and node number in normal condition and days to 50% flowering, stomatal breadth at 45 and 75 days and fibre weight in drought conditions indicating the prevalence of additive gene effect on these characters. Significance of parent vs. hybrid for all the characters except base

Soil properties			Values			Method used
Mechanical						
Sand(%)			53.83		Internat	tional, Pipette method (Piper, 1966)
Silt (%)			26.37			-do-
Clay(%)			19.80			-do-
Texture			Sandy loam			
Chemical						
Soil pH			6.9			Buck mains pH meter method (Jackson, 1973)
Physical	0-15cm	15-30 cm	30-45cm	45-60 cm	60-70 cm	
Bulk density (gcc ⁻¹)	1.42	1.45	1.50	1.53	1.58	Field method (Bodman, 1942)
Field capacity (%)	22.86	21.54	20.10	18.48	18.12	Field method (Coleman, 1944)

Table1: Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil before sowing

diameter, bark thickeness, green weight and fibre tenacity under normal condition indicated prevalence of heterosis for all the characters. The significant lines x tester interaction was observed in all the characters except for days to 50% flowering and base diameter under normal and for days to 50% flowering under drought environment which provided the evidences of the importance of interaction effect other than lines or testers on most of the characters under the two distinct environments. Under normal condition stomatal length at 30, 45, 75 days, internode length and green weight were evident to have higher magnitude of interaction component due to line x tester interaction than either due to lines or testers and node number in drought condition and mid diameter, bark thickness and fibre tenacity under both the conditions which indicated the predominance of non additive gene action in the expression of these characters. On the other hand, days to 50% flowering, stomatal breadth at 30, 45 and 75 days, plant height, base and top diameter, dry stick weight, fibre fineness and fibre weight under both the conditions and stomatal length at 30 and 75 days, green weight under drought and node number under normal conditions had lower magnitude of interaction component than either due to lines or testers indicating that these characters were predominantly controlled by additive genes.

Genetic variance

Various genetic variances from line x tester design for yield attributing traits are represented in Table 3. The estimate of predictability ratio revealed the predominance of non additive gene action for all the yield attributing characters studied in both the conditions except for days to 50% flowering. The presence of low estimate of narrow sense heritability in all the characters indicated that these characters were controlled by non additive gene action and hence heterosis breeding may be feasible to make the most use of dominance gene action. The significance of GCA variance was found for days to 50% flowering, stomatal breadth at 45 and 75 days, plant height and fineness under both normal and drought condition, stomatal breadth at 30 days, node number, base and top diameter in normal and green weight, dry stick weight and fibre weight in drought environment. Significant SCA variance was observed in all the characters in both the conditions except for base diameter in normal and days to 50% flowering in drought environment. However, Kumar and Palve (1995) reported GCA and SCA was highly significant for all the characters, except for base diameter for GCA and fibre percentage for SCA. Singh (1973) also showed significant SCA and GCA for all the characters except base diameter for GCA. Higher SCA variance than GCA variance was observed in both the stress and normal condition for all the yield attributing characters except for days to 50% flowering, stomatal breadth at 45 days under both conditions and fibre yield in case of drought situation indicating that the above mentioned characters were predominantly controlled by additive gene action.Ghoshdastidar and Das (1982) also reported higher estimate of SCA variance from GCA for plant height and fibre yield. However, Palve and Kumar (1991) found higher GCA variance than SCA variance for plant height, basal diameter, node number, days to 50% flowering, dry stick weight and fibre yield. Alam and De (1995) found that both GCA and SCA variances were highly significant for base diameter, plant height, green weight and node number while SCA variance only was significant for dry stick weight and fibre weight. Presence of both additive and non additive genetic components in the expression of plant height, node number, days to flowering and fibre yield and additive component for base diameter was reported by Palve and Kumar (1991). Ghoshdastidar and Das (2003) and

No11 <t< th=""><th></th><th>d.f.</th><th>50% flowering</th><th>50% 50% lowering</th><th>Stomata length 30days (µm)</th><th>length (µm)</th><th>Stomata length 45days (µm)</th><th>a length s (µm)</th><th>Stomat 75day.</th><th>Stomata length 75days (μm)</th><th>Stomata 30day:</th><th>Stomata brcadth 30days (μm)</th><th>Stomata breadth 45days (µm)</th><th></th><th>Stomata breadth 75days (µm)</th><th>rcadth µm)</th><th>Plant height (cm)</th><th>ight (cm)</th><th>Node 1</th><th>Node number</th><th>Inter-node length (cm)</th><th>e lengt)</th></t<>		d.f.	50% flowering	50% 50% lowering	Stomata length 30days (µm)	length (µm)	Stomata length 45days (µm)	a length s (µm)	Stomat 75day.	Stomata length 75days (μm)	Stomata 30day:	Stomata brcadth 30days (μm)	Stomata breadth 45days (µm)		Stomata breadth 75days (µm)	rcadth µm)	Plant height (cm)	ight (cm)	Node 1	Node number	Inter-node length (cm)	e lengt)
1116401740000001<			z	a	z	Q	z	a	z	a	z	C	z	0	z	a	z	C	z	<u> </u>	z	_
3 3 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	Replication	5	18.630	17.439	0.020	0.001	0.020	0.003	0.021	0.003	0.004	0.0001			0.007	0.001	113.32	98.645	6.168	0.871	0.041	0.031
3 3:3:3:4:1: 1:3:3: 0:4:3: 0:4:3: 0:4:1: </td <td>Genotypes</td> <td></td> <td>23.308***</td> <td>42.309 ***</td> <td>1.139 ***</td> <td>0.417 ***</td> <td>1.010 ***</td> <td>1.436 ***</td> <td>0.610 ***</td> <td>1.187 ***</td> <td>0.097</td> <td>0.239 ***</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.103 ***</td> <td>-</td> <td>526.462***</td> <td>1336.901 ***</td> <td>33.759 ***</td> <td>58.066 ***</td> <td>0.080 ***</td> <td>0.134 ***</td>	Genotypes		23.308***	42.309 ***	1.139 ***	0.417 ***	1.010 ***	1.436 ***	0.610 ***	1.187 ***	0.097	0.239 ***			0.103 ***	-	526.462***	1336.901 ***	33.759 ***	58.066 ***	0.080 ***	0.134 ***
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	Parents (P)		32.584*** 1	104.586***	2.194 ***	0.465 ***	2.260 ***	3.122 ***	0.461 ***	2.682 ***	0.057 ***	0.375).066 ***		684.159***	2048.771 ***	16.642 ***	78.052 ***	0.041 **	0.343
	P vs II		84.503***	31.997*	8.126 ***		3.879***	5.269 ***	7.043 ***	2.507 ***	0.898	0.165 ***).406 ***	4	890.572***	6689.350##*	105.133 ***	0.463	0.104 **	0.042
	IIybrids(II)		15.344*	13.608*	0.231 ***	0.3744 ***	0.252 ***	0.4168 ***	0.302 ***	0.4054 ***	_	0.17986***				38192***	1254.36 ***	687.053 ***	37.615 ***	52.049 ***	0.096***	0.041 ***
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l. effect	\$	47.768 ***	29.869*	0.123	0.4118	0.111	0.4317	0.186	0.4480	0.138 (0.51427***				20749**	2752.94*	1321.875	69.983#	23.262	0.093	0.046
	T effect	6	0.667	12.668	0.065	0.2442	0.060	0.1667	0.197	0.1674	0.019	0.03312				0.00860	670.76	490.306	43.632	17.007	0.051	0.029
3 3 5 0	L X T effect		2.067	5.666	0.319 ***	0.3817 ***	0.361	0.4593 ***	0.381 ***	0.4317 ***	0.043 ***	0.0420				03380***	621.79 ***	408.991	20.228 ***	73.452 ***	0.107***	0:040
Image: free free free free free free free fr	Error	34	6.920	6.511	0.0074	0.0069	0.0078	0.0073	0.0081	0.0077	0.0015					0.00227	47.028	38.533	2.466	3.490	0.0146	0.011
NDDDD <th< th=""><th>Sources of variation</th><th>d.f.</th><th>Base dia</th><th>meter (cm)</th><th>Mid di</th><th>ameter (cm</th><th>F</th><th>op diameter</th><th>: (cm)</th><th>Bark thick</th><th>tess (mm)</th><th>Green v</th><th>rcight (g)</th><th>Dry stick</th><th>weight (g)</th><th></th><th>acity (g/tex)</th><th></th><th>e (lex)</th><th>Fibr</th><th>e weight (g)</th><th></th></th<>	Sources of variation	d.f.	Base dia	meter (cm)	Mid di	ameter (cm	F	op diameter	: (cm)	Bark thick	tess (mm)	Green v	rcight (g)	Dry stick	weight (g)		acity (g/tex)		e (lex)	Fibr	e weight (g)	
			z	C	z	0		z	G	z	C	z	G	z	0	z	a	z	G	z	-	
	teplication	6	0.0033	0.003	0.0013			110	0.001	0.003	0.001	79.94	2.946	0.454	0.790	0.761	0.166	0.009	0.007	0.181	0.1	02
	Genotypes	26	0.003	0.010 ***	0.007		Ŭ		.014***	0.024 ***	0.004	192.213***	686.981 ***	8.223 ***	38.461 ***	066-0 ***	5.677 ***	0.061 ***	0.410 ***	0.255	4.6	34 *
$ \begin{bmatrix} 0.001 & 0.045 & 0.005 & 0.022 & 0.012 & 0.012 & 0.004 *** & 0.004 *** & 0.002 & 0.046 & 37.55 *** & 6.341 & 30.371 & 0.966 & 0.015 & 0.357 & 0.013 & 0.548 & *** & $	arents (P)	8	0.003	0.019 ***	0.004				.028***	0.044 ***	0.004 ***	142.085***	1241.580***	3.375 ***	67.377 ***	1.291 ***	12.708 ***	0.042 ***	0.457 ***	0.102	4.2	34
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P vs II	Г	0.001	0.045 ***	0.005				.004***	0.0002	0.046 ***	37.559	1027.555***	6.341 ***	30.371 ***	0.966	0.015 ***	0.357 ***	0.013	0.548	2.1 **	38
5 0.0056 0.0043 0.0084 0.0147 0.0165 0.0013 220.26 359.864 13.754 45.945 0.824 2.368 0.074 0.613 0.349 1 2 0.0029 0.0030 0.0043 0.0034 0.003 0.0003 68.67 917.147 0.860 13.342 0.389 0.745 0.619 0.410 0.254 10 0.0040 0.0121 0.0107 0.0017 0.0013 0.254 3.35.768 19.998 17.418************************************	[ybrids(II)	17	0.0032	0.0043 ***	0.0085				***6200	0.016***	0.0012*	224.90 ***	405.959 ***	10.616 ***	25.329***		2.702 ***	0.053 ***	0.412 ***	0.309 ***	5.0	04 *
10 0.0020 0.0040 0.0121 0.0107 0.0017 0.0060*** 0.022*** 0.0013* 258.47 326.768 10.998 17.418*** 0.955 3.260*** 0.037 0.311 0.300 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *	L. effect T' effect	s 0	0.0056 0.0029	0.0052 0.0030	0.0043 0.0043				0.0147 0.0003	0.006	0.0013 0.0000	220.26 68.67	359.864 917.147	13.754 0.860	45.945 13.342	0.824 0.389	2.368 0.745	0.074 0.079	0.613 0.410	0.349 0.254	12.8	13*# [14
34 0.0012 0.0010 0.0005 0.0004 0.00040 0.0003 0.0010 0.0005 29.176 19.411 0.186 0.356 0.281 0.064 0.0030 0.003 0.065	X T effect	10	0.0020	0.0040 ***	0.0121 ***			-	0060***	0.022***	0.0013#	258.47 ***	326.768 ***	10.998 ***	17.418***		3.260***	0.037 ***	0.311 ***	0.300 ***	1.5	78
	Error	34	0.0012	0.0010	0.0005				0.0003	0100.0	0.0005	29.176	19.411	0.186	0.356	0.281	0.064	0.0030	0.003	0.065	0.0	40

J. Crop and Weed, 19(1)

Table 2: Analysis of variance of yield attributing characters of F1 generation along with 9 parents of C. olitorius under normal and drought condition in field

Sources of variation	Days (50% floweri	Days to 50% flowering	Stomata length 30days (µm)	length (µm)	Stomata length 45days (µm)	ngth 1m)	Stomata length 75days (µm)	length (µm)	Stomata brcadth 30days (µm)	rcadth (µm)	Stomata breadth 45days (µm)	rcadth jum)	Stomata breadth 75days (µm)	rcadth (µm)	Plant height (cm)	ht (cm)	Node number	umber	Inter-node length (cm)	le length 1)
	z	C	z	C	z	a	z	G	z	C	z	C	z	C	z	a	z	≏	z	<u> </u>
6 ² gca	1.320	1.134**	0.006	0.024	0.006	0.022	0.014	0.022	0.006*	0.007	0.020	0.020	0.010**	0.008**	123.519 **	64.585*	4.037**	1.253	0.004	0.002
o²sca	-1.445	-0.097	0.104 ***	0.125 ***	0.118 ***	0.151 ***	0.124 ***	0.141 ***	0.014 ***	0.021 ***	0.012 ***	0.014 ***	0.013 ***	0.011	192.484 ***	124.933 ***	5.972***	23.412 ***	0.031	0.010 **
$\sigma^2 \Lambda$	2.640	2.268	0.013	0.048	0.012	0.043	0.027	0.044	0.011	0.013	0.040	0.040	0.020	0.016	247.039	129.170	8.073	2.506	0.009	0.004
σ²D	-1.445	-0.097	0.104	0.125	0.118	0.151	0.124	0.141	0.014	0.021	0.012	0.014	0.013	0.011	192.484	124.933	5.972	23.412	0.031	0.010
h ² % (N.S.)	79.302	54.564	10.799	27.229	8.779 2	22.010	17.660	23.602	44.321	38.306	76.607	74.251	59.505	58.227	54.378	48.652	54.491	9.286	19.607	22.858
$\sigma^2 \Lambda / \sigma^2 \mathbf{D}$	-1.827	-23.361	0.124	0.381	0.098	0.287	0.219	0.314	0.824	0.634	3.397	2.964	1.556	1.483	1.283	1.034	1.352	0.107	0.279	0.399
$\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_D^2$	2.209	1.045	0.110	0.276	0.089	0.223	0.180	0.239	0.452	0.388	0.773	0.748	0.609	0.597	0.562	0.508	0.575	0.097	0.218	0.285
Sources of variation	Base dia	Base diameter (cm)	Mid dis	Mid diameter (cm)) Top (diameter (cm)	(cm)	Bark thick	Bark thickness (mm)	Green	Green weight (g)	Dry stic	ck weight (g	g) Fibre to	Dry stick weight (g) Fibre tenacity (g/tex)		Fibre fineness (tex)		Fibre weight (g)	ht (g)
	z	D	z	D	Z		D	z	D	z	D	z	D	z	D	z	Q	Z		9
o²gca	0.0002*	0.0002	0.0003	0.001	0.0003*	3* 0.001	01	0.0005	0.00002	8.727	46.056*	0.529	2.172*	0.026	0.111	0.005*	0.038*	0.018	8 0.569	69
б ² sса	0.0003	0.001 ***	0.0039 ***	0.003	0.0005***	*** 0.002 ***		0.0070***	0.00030^{**}	77.270	103.339 ***	3.610	5.697 ***	0.231	1.067 ***	0.011 ***	0.103 ***	0.080	80 0.514 * ***	4
6 ² A	0.0005	0.0005	0.0006	0.001	0.0006	0.001	10	0.0010	0.00004	17.453	92.112	1.057	4.343	0.051	0.222	0.011	0.075	0.036	6 1.138	38

Table 3: Genetic variance from Line X Tester analysis for yield attributing traits of C. olitorius under normal and drought condition in field

J. Crop and Weed, 19(1)

68.389 0.514

> 42.047 0.732

47.106 0.967

16.951 0.208 0.172

22.388 42.793 3.610 5.697

77.270 103.339 16.845 45.819 0.891

0.00030

0.0070 12.109 0.144

0.0005 50.842 1.310

0.0039 12.294 0.146

0.0003 40.613 1.528

و²D

35.088 0.002

27.186 0.003

26.161 0.001

h² % (N.S.) σ²A/ σ²D

0.455

0.387

0.561

0.762

0.293

0.226

0.127 7.966

0.080 26.371 0.448

0.011 0.103

0.231 1.067 13.830 0.221

2.212

0.689

0.309

0.423

0.491

0.181

0.433

0.227

0.471

0.184

0.112

0.126

0.359

0.567

0.279

0.128

0.312

0.604

 σ_A^2

 $\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_D^2$

	D	Days to																		
Sources of variation	w.	50%	Stoma 30da	Stomata length 30days (µm)	Stoma 45da	Stomata length 45days (µm)	Stoma 75day	Stomata length 75days (µm)	Stomat 30day	Stomata breadth 30days (μm)		Stomata breadth 45days (µm)	Stomata 75days	Stomata breadth 75days (µш)	Plant height (cm)	ţht (cm)	Node number	mber	Inter-node length (cm)	ode (cm)
	Flor	Flowering		,		7		,		,				,					1	,
	N	D	N	D	N	۵	N	Q	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	۵	N	Q	N	P
Lines	91.565	64.555	15.668	32.351	12.971	30.464	18.133	32.502	59.688	43.386	83.762	84.095	73.502	74.494	64.550	56.588	54.720	13.145	28.376	33.249
Testers	0.511	10.951	3.319	7.674	2.812	4.704	7.697	4.857	3.213	9.410	3.683	2.166	2.658	1.235	6.291	8.396	13.646	3.844	6.253	8.317
LineXTester	7.924	24.493	81.013	59.975	84.217	64.832	74.171	62.641	37.099	47.203	12.556	13.739	23.840	24.271	29.159	35.017	31.633	83.011	65.371	58.434
Contd																				
Sources of variation	Base diameter (cm)	meter)	Mid diameter (cm)	meter 1)	Top diameter (cm)		Bark thickness (mm) Green weight (g)	iess (mm)	Green w	eight (g)	Dry stick weight (g)		Fibre tenacity (g/tex)	city (g/tex)	Fibre	Fibre fineness (tex)	tex)	Fibre	l'ibre weight (g)	6
	N	۵	N	Q	N	Q	N	Q	N	Q	N	Q	N	D	N		D	z		
Lines	51.657	36.009	14.346	24.846	37.817	54.755	11.195	33.005	28.805	26.072	38.107	53.351	28.518	25.780	41.271		43.790	33.246	75.	75.310
Testers	10.767	8.277	5.670 12.139		23.816	0.517	6.984	0.409	3.592	26.579	0.953	6.197	5.379	3.244	17.682		11.707	9.682	6.1	6.146
LineXTester 37.577	37.577	55.715	79.984	63.015	38.366	44.728	81.821	66.586	67.603	47.349	60.940	40452	66.103	70.976	41.047		44 503	57.072	18	18.544

J. Crop and Weed, 19(1)

178

l'arents	Days to 50% Flowering	lo wer ing	Stomat	Stomata length 30days (µm)		Stomata length 45days (µm)	length (µm)	Stomata h ()	Stomata length 75days (µm)		Stomata breadth 30days (µm)		Stomata breadth 45days (µm)		Stomata breadth 75days (mn)	h 75days	Plant hei	Plant height (cm)	Node 1	Node number
Lines (gi)	z	^	Z			z	=	z	=	2	0	Z	6		Z	6	7	=	z	^
JR05690	0.722	1.111	-0.01		0.025 (0.040	0.017	0.047	0.092**	2.50°D	/ 0.191	M 0.278	78 U.161 *		0 110.0	0.058***	25.806	15.778	2.246 ***	-1.370*
011214	0 3 RG	(144-5) 2014-5	0.043		0.011 U	0.027	0.052	$^{\pm17.070}$	0.052	0.191	-	Ŭ	0		0.118 0.	0.089/354	-10.704	0000	-2.009	0.963
180524	1 7224	0 44 4	-D 162000		-0.391 L	-0.177	646'0- ##	-0.229 ##	-0.385 :#:#	-0.05 ###	9 -0.037**	-			~	¢7٤0 U	12.206 ###	8 111 444		1 06344
JRO8432	-1.944*	-0.889	-0.057			0.088**	110.0	0.027	0.046	0.136	6 0.035**	-0.02	21 0.231		0.520 -0	-0.279***	6.206**	-3.222	0.880	0.463
056NTO	11976-	-2.889	0.193***			0.111	0.333	081.0	0.296	0.001	-0150	50 0.U38##	Ŭ			0.053***	6.628**	0.111	600'1	0.4077
18:0632	0 722	222-0-	900 0-			++680.0-	+t90 U-	-0.100**	500.0	0.100		17 0.149	19 0.078 * ::::		0.019 0	0.150***	24.795 ###	20.222	3.843	2.426
SI: (81)	0.843	0.814	0.029		0.027 0	0.029	0.029	0.030	0.029	0.013	3 0.012	12 0.012	12 0.011		0.016	0.015	2.220	1.949	0.507	863*0
Tester (g)																				
0.01170	111 u -	0.111	0.056*3		-0.085	0.022	-0.097	0.041	0110- ***	0.016	() () () ()	1000 H	اط م 10.023##		0.020	0.006	2.078	4.556##	1.259%	1.093*
162N10	0 222	0.778	0 DE4**		0.133	0 (66%	0.100 ##*	0.078	0.072**	-0.037 ###	7 -0.023*	19010- °E	6+0:0- **** *		0.022	0.018	6.872 ###	0211-	141 141	0 324
OEX29	111.0-	-0.889	-0.008			-0.044	-0.003	-0 119 ***	0.038	0.021*	*** ***	21070 80	17 0.026**		-0.042***	-0.024*	4.794**	5095	-0.482	0.769
SE (g))	0.596	0.575	0.020	0.0	0.019 0.021	E	0.020 (0.021	0.021	600.0	0000	600.0 60	0.008	0.011		0.011 1	1.570	1.378	0.358	0.423
Contd					n diama dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia di	יועפודון מון				N T 10 IT		oremu		TO ADT BU						
Parents 1	Inter-node length (em)		Base diameter (cm)		Mid diameter (cm)		Top diameter (cm)		Bark thickness (mm)	(UCUL) SS	Green weight (g)	tehr (g)	Dry stick weight (g)	tglat (g)	Fibre tena	Fibre tenacity (g'tex)	Fibre lineness (tex)	Filtre ness (fex)	Fibre weight (g)	ght (g)
Lincs	⊂ z	z	2	Z	-		N	a	z	:	z	-	z	c	z	c	7	=	z	=
0691030	0.164 0.044	0.010	0 0.015	** 0000 \$	£00 0° **		-0 006 0	10000.0	0.007	500.0	s 111 s s	-411100	-0.327*	3.458 8##	-0414*	°à010	-0.066 ##	0.0 8 8	111	-0.048
oII214 -	60.0- **0€1.0-	-0.021	21 -0.006	0.006	6 -0.022**		0.023** -0.	-0.062*** 0	0.040*** (0.016*	4.444*	4.550**	0.573	1.512	0.088	-0.286**	0.058**	-0.420***	-0.00	2.216
1KOS24	0.022 0.096##	101010	0 0.029##	t‡ 0.001	4 0.036##3		0.018**	0.003	\$150'0	0.008	1.222	6.7784483	1 184	2 042	0.263	-D 865 ***	\$:##\$CE170	-(111)- ***	0.092	*** 10 747
1808432	0.005 0.051	0.016	6 0.008	900 0- 2	ê 0.047≎÷•		-0.029	++S10.0-	110.0-	500.0	+688 t-	1111-	L.340 ##	-2.375	0 306	0.023	10.01	960:0	0.338 ***	0.798 383
0IN970	0.027 -0.020	0.025*	5• -0.005	5 -0.033**	000°- ••		-0.012 0	0.010*	0- 610:0-	0.019**	2.778	2.889*	-444 1.409-1	-0.542**	0.086	0.542	0.122	-0.011	0.229	0.716
JRO672.	+580.0 LL0.0r	♦♦U7D 0° ♦	♦♦♦ [†U (I* – ♦♦	*** 0.027**	0000 0 00 00		0 000 0	0.063***	0.014	0.004	-7.222	7 556444	-1.677	-1.042	675 OF	0.391	0.007	0.364	620.0-	0.098 860.0
SI: (gj)	0.038 0.034	0.011	1 0.010	0.007	7 0.007		0.006	0.005	0.010	0.007	1.721	1.364	0.137	0.191	0.170	0.081	0.018	0.018	0.082	0.062
Tester (gj) 00177	0.055 0.046	+10.0-	4 0.003	**910.0	** 0.023***		-0.00	0.005	*910.0	0.001	1.444	8.222***	-0.138	-0.250	-0.165	-0.160**	190.0	41 a 1	0.136*	0.342
01V.781	0.052 0.021	0.003	0.011	0.002	100.0 2		\$:\$C10.0	0.001 0	0.026###	0.002	2.222	4.611##	0.052	-0.708	0.117	0.229	0.009	0.013**	0.051	0.069
01329	-0 004 - 10 023	0.011	1 -0.014	4 -0.014**	0005°C()()− 00		••••áli) 0	500.0-	6000-0	0.001	0.778	-3 611444	0.740*	\$553 8141	0.048	-0 0.69	0.070 ###	:::: *::	-0 (18.2	-0.4][***

J. Crop and Weed, 19(1)

Sawarkar et al.

	μŋ	Days to	1		:		1		:		1									
Hybrids	Nel T	50% Flewering	Stomat 30day	Stomata length 30days (um)	Stomat 45day	Stomata length 45days (μm)	Stomata length 7.5days (µm)	t length t (µm)	Stomata 30day	Stomata breadth 30days (um)	Stomata bread 45days (µm)	Stomata breadth 45days (µm)	Stomata breadth 75days (µm)	breadth (µm)	Plant height (cm)	ght (cm)	Node number	amber	Inter-nade length (cm)	te length 10)
	z	Â	z	A	R	A	N	A	2	A	z	۵	и	A	и	A	z	0	z	A
77110 X 0698030	666.0	6471	964 () 969	0.340	0 454 ***	0.398	0 365 ***	0.403	0.010	-0.153	-0.096	-0.149 ***	0.129###	-0.151	0.778	1.889	1.426	0.648	0.120	0.024
R03690 X 0IN791	1.11.1	0.880	0.305 ###	-0 19K	0.387 1888	*** 125 0-	0.383 ###	-0 473 ***	0.105 844	0 247 745	010.0-	° (1†1) U	-0.023	0 17B	-0.472	726 E	2.408 :88	0.565	-0.147*	200 0-
JR03690 X 0EX29	0000	966.0	16010	660.0	-10 (167	0.123*	0.018	0.022	-0 (164	601.0-	n 106 ***	0.107	-01 ()- ***	0.003	0.306	8.861*	0.982	1213	0 767 ***	0.031
01214 X 011177	2 <i>02</i> 01	0 222	0.265 ##8	260.0-	0.279 #88	+1010-	0.230	-0.097	0.054*	0.030	0.015	0.135	0.012	-0.058*	3.078	15.222	2.648**	⇒848÷	0.075	0 0.28
011214 X 01N /91	0.444	0cc.1	0.019	0.045	0.050	0.084	0.031	0.032	-0.214	-0.09	38889070	\$#149070	100'0	\$100.0	8.872*	-10.194	2,185%	-3.102	0.042	0.012
OU214 X OEX29	202.01	-1 778	0.216 ##8	10000-0-	0.228	0 077.	0.196 ###	0.065	07160 844	0.062.00	0.083 ###	0.199	510.01	100 0-	5.795	3 ()78	-0.463	0.454	-0.117	014010-
180521 X 01177	0.444	1,222	••• 1473	0.560	0.467. ***	0.578	0.450	0.544	0.025	0.071*#	*** 060-01	0.014	0.056	0.081**	2.422	-17.444	** 1967 -	0.852	0.012	0.027
JRO524 X ODV791	-0.839	년 10-	0.201 ##8	-0 148**	0.018	-0.158	0.012	+5110-	0.126 sat	♦♦££0 0	0.037	0.075	-0.029	-0.014	9.128*	+659.7	3,703	S16 0-	-0.037	950 0-
JR0524 X OEX29	0.444	0.778	*** 222 P	-0.412	-0 444	-0.420	*** 1070-	-0.410 ***	-0 10D	-0.144 ***	0.056#	110.0	0.027	0.067#	6.705	9.805	0.241	1.787.1	0.025	0.093
JR08432 X 0D177	-0.889	-1.444	-0.001	-0.150**	0.159	-0.787 1984	-0.025	-0 187 ***	610.0-	0.023	0.058**	-0 084	0.176 ***	0 11 S	14.578 ***	12.389 ###	2.907	-8 685 att	0.139*	0.163**
16/ NIO X 2608031	0.778	0.111	67.070	0.052	0.021	0.151 ^{4:‡}	-0.223	0.012	0.058	-0.121	-0.110	010/0	0.193	-0.127	-25.872	1.028	1.093	5.065	0.1633	0.003
JRO8432 X OEX29	0.111	1.555	0.080	0.117*	0.183	0.131*	0.248	0.229 ###	*120.0	0 09K	0.052*	### 1694 0	210.0-	0.012	9.204*	-8.361*	1.815*	3.620**	0.302	-0.166 ##
0790 X 01177	0.778	000.0	-0.254	0.118^{44}	-0.196	0.086	-0.308	0.129 [‡]	0:000	0.124	0.021	0.0002	0.080#	0.621	3.411	1.941	1.148	0.630	0.078	0.035
162NIO X 0160IO	-0.556	-1.111	0.243	0.156**	0.060	0.]47**	0.185**	0.184 88#	•150°0-	110- 117	0.074 14/0.0	090 Q-	-0.043	-0.09X	**104.11	2.639	1.148	\$ 954 884	0.002	-0.085
62X3O X 026NIO	2021)*	0.555	110.0	0.008	0.136*	0.06.1	0.123*	0.055	640.01	61070	0.051 *	0.050	0.128	0.119	-14.872 ***	0.691	-2.796*	3.324	-0.080	0.018
JR0632 X 011177	0.444	0.880	0.3499 ***	-0.509	0.282	-0.500 1938	0.253	512 Q-	0.066 **	711 0- 11	0.093 ***	EE #	0.060*	0.014	19.422 ***	E #	0.648	6 870 ***	0.185	-0.175 *#
JRO532 X OIN791	-0.889	877.0-	0.293 ###	0.265	0.919 0.915	0.296	0.345	0.386	0.074**	0.034	0800 *##	0:060	++()()()()-	0 051	12.628**	800.0-	63E I-	5.516 ***	220.0-	0.141*
JR0632 X 0EX29	0.444	111.0-	0.056	1 744 \$\$\$	-0.057	:813 502 0	-0.092	0.148**	-0.008	0 081 #:#:	-0.182	+150.0-	160.0	-0.065*	6.795	11 139 ##	0.703	-1.324	0.207**	0.024
SE(fi)	1.461	6091	0.050	0.447	0.050	11.001	0.057	0.112.0	1100	100.0	0.000	0.010	0.076	210.0	20.45	3 274	979.0		2000	1000

Hybrids	Base dian	Base diameter (cm)	Mid diameter (cm)	ter (cm)	Top dian	Top diameter (cm)	Bark thicl	Bark flückness (mm)	(Hiren	(Hren weight (g)	Dry stick	Dry stick weight (g)	fibre tena	lfibre tenacity (g/tex)	lí)l finenus	l'ühre fineness (tev)	Ribre v	lfibre weight (g)
	z	6	7	=	z	2	z	_ ≏	7	c	z	2	z	=	7	2	2	2
JR03690 X 0IJ177	010:0-	-0.018	-0.008	0 057 ###	-0.004	610.0-	-0 (176 	0.006	4.889	3.445	1.555 ***	0.750*	-0.287	-0.385 ##	-0.117	0.406***	-0.283	***105.0-
1803690 X OIN791	0.010	-10.0	0.024	-0.005	0.024*	0.027++	0.032	210.018	** <u>44</u> 4**	5.278%	-0.882	2.208	0 644*	0.028	961.0	+++(0100-	⇒‡££ 0	0 K32446
95X3090 X 015X29	0.000	0.056*	0.016	*** 150'0-	120.0	+10.0-	0.0118	0.012	3.555	-8.722 3814	-0.673 **	-2.958	0.357	0.358*	0.022	0.002	170.0	**066.0-
01214 X 01177	-0.015	0.011	0.064	0.060	+050.0-	10.01	0+1-0	010.0	0.556	-5 222.+	0 355	0.750*	155.01	0.019	-0.153	***'CE1 ()*	051.0	502.01
01/214 X 01/2/91	000.0	0.055	*** 250 D-	-0.055	0.008	0.018%	-0 (ISS ***	0.002	3.222	2.389	0.282	\$167.0	0.259	-1.059	0.018	0.039	-D 448	0.257##
01/214 X 01/X29	0.074	0.073	-0.012	-0.005	**770 D	900 0-	0.053 ##	200.01	877 2.	7611**	510.01	0.042	0.094	010.1	0.085*	**\$50.0	∘862.0	∝⇔e005 0
180524 X 011177	0.013	0.032	*** 530 D-	0.027%	0.026	0.058	••• 960 0-	0.007	5.222	6.1113	-1 47.3 ***	-3.083	0.136	0.213	0.105##	0.036	0.139	1.3-18 ****
JR0524 X OIN791	0.071	0.075	0.014	0.010	-0.006	0.010	0.038*	010 01	0 889	-1.056	201.0	0.675	-0.086	0.485**	230.0-	-D 265***	0.32	0 340 € UF
JR0524 X 015X29	0.034	-0.057**	0.070 ***	0.046 ###	0.020	-0.048 ***	** 650.0	0.017	6.111*	-3.056	1316 ***	2.458 ***	150.0	-0.272	0.019	0.229***	0.182	-1.006***
18.08432 X 01.177	-0 00 G	0.021	0.078 ###	0.01L	0.018	0.057	0.083	0.018	-6111*	K 444**	-1.112 ##	** /16/0	0.709	1.618 ***	0.143 ###	***617 ()-	0.081	-0.127
1808132 X OIN791	0.002	0.026	*** 250 D-	0.022	0.026^{*}	-0.055	\$620.0	0.008	3.000	5.7224	-1 048 ***	0.242	0.759*	-1.456 ***	-0.087	\$\$\$3//J/	0.284	0.183
JRO8472 X 01/X29	0.004	0 005	900 0-	0.00	0.008	-0.007	0.018 ##	0.027 •	2.556	227 5-	2.160 ###	0.375	0.549	-0.192	-0.056	-10.01	0.365	011000
01N970 X 011177	0.012	0.002	\$#\$5070	-0.0 11	100.0	-0.058	-0 (161	-0.026	5.222	4.445	5.52 J	-1.250	61470	-0.388	660.0	£10'Q	0.112	0.052
162NIO X 026NIO	0.016	0.015	M00 01	0.018	0.006	0.032	0.027	0 (IUI	6889*	5.278*	-0.6154	60% 0	1344 D	1.069	\$10.0	5000	0.734	0 051
01N9/0 X 01:X29	0.028	0.013	0.010##	0.026*	00.0	0.026**	0.035%	0.025	-12.111 ***	-9.722	-1 905	1.012***	0.781*	-0.682 ***	0.020	01010	0.123	0.103
JR0652 X 0J177	0.006	-0.0-	-0.015	-0.087	600.0-	£10.0-	0.005	100.01	## 817.16	-17-222 364	1.896 ‡‡‡	\$ 150 1275	-0.155	-0.581 :###	0.057	0 142°°°	0.025	-0.567***
180637 X OIN791	010 0-	0.037*	0.070	0.085	010.0	0.032	010.0	0.021	-6 111 %	0.611	2.719	21/92	161.07	14640	-0.080*	0.155***	-0103	190.0-
R0632 X 0EX29	0.035	0.007	-0 056 ***	0.003	0.000	0.044 ***	+0:036+	-0.020	15 889 ***	***110.01	-0 823 **	-0 958 #1	0.548	-0.253	0.023	-0.207***	0.079	0.629***
SE(ij)	61470	0.017	0.013	0.011	0.011	61HF10	0.017	0.012	2.981	2.363	0.497	055-0	0.295	0.141	0.031	15010	0.142	0.107

Sawarkar et al.

 Table 7: Six important crosses with their status in respect to general combining abilities of involving parents, specific combining abilities, average heterosis and heterobeltiosis for fibre yield under normal condition in field

Sl.No.	High <i>per se</i> performance crosses	General Combining Ability (GCA)	Specific Combining Ability(SCA)	Relative heterosis (MP)	Heterobeltiosis (BP)
1.	JRO8432 X OIN791	НХР	-	5.27**	-
2.	JRO8432 X OIJ177	HXH	-	3.56*	-
3.	JRO524 X OIJ177	РХН	-	-	-
4.	OIJ214 X OIJ177	PXH	-	-	-
5.	OIJ214 X OEX29	PXP	0.30*	-	-
6	JRO3690 X OIN791	PXP	0.35*	-	-

 Table 8: Six important crosses with their status in respect to general combining abilities of involving parents, specific combining abilities, average heterosis and heterobeltiosis with respect for fibre yield under drought condition in field

Sl.No.	High <i>per se</i> performance crosses	General Combining Ability (GCA)	Specific Combining Ability (SCA)	Relative heterosis (MP)	Heterobeltiosis (BP)
1.	JRO524 X OIJ177	РХН	1.35***	17.58**	-
2.	JRO632 X OEX29	HXP	0.63***	27.08**	26.96**
3.	OIN970 X OIJ177	HXH	Non significant	3135**	30.96**
4.	JRO8432 X OIJ177	HXH	-	30.38**	30.37**
5.	JRO3690 X OIN791	PXP	0.83***	10.81**	-6.26**
6	JRO8432 X OEX29	HXP	0.31**	22.97**	20.14**

 Table 9: Four important crosses with their status in respect to general combining abilities of involving parents, specific combining abilities with high *per se* performance of fibre quality characters and high fibre yield under normal condition in field

Sl.No.	High <i>per se</i> performance	Fibre tenacity	Fibre tenacity GCA	Fibre tenacity SCA	Fibre fineness GCA	Fibre fineness SCA	Fibre fineness
1.	JRO8432 X OIJ177	Present	AXP	-	Present	РХР	0.14***
2.	JRO3690 X OIN791	Present	PXA	0.64*	Present	PXP	0.14***
3.	JRO524 X OIJ177	-	-	-	Present	HXP	0.10**
4.	OIJ214 X OEX29	-	-	-	Present	HXH	0.08*

 Table 10: Four important crosses with their status in respect to general combining abilities of involving parents, specific combining abilities with high *per se* performance of fibre quality characters and high fibre yield under drought condition in field

Sl.No.	High <i>per se</i> performance	Fibre tenacity	Fibre tenacity GCA	Fibre tenacity SCA	Fibre fineness GCA	Fibre fineness SCA	Fibre fineness
1.	JRO8432 X OIJ177	Present	AXP	1.65***	-	-	-
2.	OIN970 X OIJ177	-	-	-	Present	PXH	-
3.	JRO524 X OIJ177	-	-	-	Present	PXH	-
4.	JRO3690 X OIN791	Present	HXH	-	-	-	-

Khatun *et al.* (2010) also observed importance of both the additive and non additive gene action for yield and its attributing traits and Palve and Kumar (1994) reported the same for fibre strength. Sengupta *et al.* (2005) observed that most yield and its attributing traits including fibre tenacity, fibre fineness were controlled by non-additive gene action except basal diameter while Kumar *et al.* (2002) and Khatun *et al.* (2010) supported the predominant role of additive genetic variations for yield and its attributing traits.

Contribution of lines, testers and their interaction

The contribution of lines, testers and their interaction are represented in Table 4. The expressions of each trait were shown in the form of contribution of line, tester and interaction between them. The contributions were much affected by their growing condition. Contributions of line x tester were high for all the characters except for days to 50% flowering, stomatal breadth at 45 and 75 days, plant height under both conditions and stomatal breadth at 30 days, node number, base diameter under normal and top diameter, dry stick weight and fibre weight under drought condition.

General combining ability

Jute being a self pollinated crop, GCA effects of the parent would be more important than SCA effects since the ultimate unit of selections is a true breeding type. The general combining ability of parents of yield related characters under normal and drought condition in field are represented in Table 5. Parents with high GCA effects for different traits indicate preponderance of additive gene action with large adaptability and could be extensively used in hybridization program as donor parents for their improvement (Khatun et al., 2010). Under normal environment, JRO 3690 was found best general combiner for five characters like plant height, internode length, mid diameter, green weight and dry stick weight and it was followed by OIJ 214 for node number, top diameter, bark thickness, green weight. Among testers, OIJ 177 was found as good general combiner for four important characters like node number, mid diameter, bark thickness and fibre weight. While under drought condition, JRO 632 was found superior for a number of characters like node number, internode length, mid and top diameter, green weight, dry stick weight and fibre weight and among testers OIJ 177 could be considered as good which showed good general combining abilities for node number, mid diameter, green weight and fibre weight. Similar results of high estimate of GCA for yield and its attributing traits were earlier reported Palve and Kumar (1991) and Kumar and Palve (1995) for earliness to flowering and. Palve and Kumar (1994) recorded high GCA for fibre strength and fibre fineness by Sengupta et al. (2005).

Specific combining ability

The desirable specific parental combinations for yield and its components are presented in Table 6. SCA effects for the crosses can estimate non additive impact. All the crosses in both normal and drought environments failed to show significant negative SCA estimates for days to 50% flowering. Highest significant positive SCA estimates for stomatal length at 30 and 45 days was found in JRO524 \times OIJ177 and cross JRO3690 x OIJ177 at 75 days involving parents with poor x poor general combining abilities under both conditions. In case of stomatal breadth, highest significant positive SCA were found at 30 days, OIJ214 x OEX29 involving high x high general combining abilities under normal, JRO3690 x OIN791 having high x poor parental combinations under drought, at 45 days, hybrid JRO3690 x OEX29 involving high x high under both conditions and at 75 days, JRO3690 x OIJ177 under normal and JRO3690 x OIN791 under drought involving high x poor combinations. Under normal condition, highest negative SCA effect was found in JRO524 \times OIJ177 for number of nodes involving high x poor combination of parents. Highest SCA effect was found in JRO3690 \times OEX29 with highest per se performance involving high x poor general combining abilities for internode length, JRO8432 × OIJ177 (poor x high) with highest per se performance for mid diameter, OIJ214 × OEX29 (high x high) with highest per se performance for top diameter, OIJ214 × OIJ177 (high x high) for bark thickness, JRO632 × OEX29 (poor x average) for green weight, OIN970 × OEX29 (poor x high) for dry stick weight, JRO3690 \times OIN791(high x high) for fibre tenacity, JRO8432 \times OIJ177 (poor x poor) for fibre fineness and JRO3690 \times OIN791(poor x poor) for fibre weight. Under drought condition, significant positive SCA effect for plant height was found in OIJ214 × OIJ177 (poor x poor) with high per se performance, for node number revealed in JRO8432 × OIJ177 (average x poor), for internode length, only two crosses were found i.e. JRO8432 × OIJ177 and JRO632 × OIN791 involving average x average and high x poor general combining parents respectively. In case of base diameter, JRO632 \times OIN791 and JRO3690 \times OEX29 had highest positive significant SCA effect involving poor x average and average x poor general combiners respectively, while for mid diameter, JRO632 \times OIN791 (high x average) with highest per se performance and for top diameter, JRO8432 × OIJ177 cross showed highest SCA effect along with highest per se performance in drought condition. Palve and Kumar (1991) reported high SCA estimate for plant height, number of node, basal diameter and dry stick weight. Further in drought condition, only cross OIN970 × OEX29 was found to have highest and significantly positive SCA estimate with high per se performance involving poor and average general

combining parents for bark thickness, JRO632 × OEX29 (high x poor) for green weight, JRO524 × OIJ177 (high x high) for dry stick weight, JRO8432 × OIJ177 (average x poor) for fibre tenacity, JRO8432 × OIN791 (high x high) for fibre fineness and JRO524 × OIJ177 (poor x high) for fibre weight.

The cross with superior SCA effect may be result of the combination of parents with difference in general combining abilities, for example, high x high, high x poor and poor x poor. There is no strong relation in high x high combination of parents resulting in to high SCA effect always. This might be due to the internal cancellation of gene effect in parents or the lack of genetic diversity in the involving parents of the specific crosses (Jones, 1958, Singh and Gupta, 1969). On the contrary, the interaction of dominant genes contributed by both the parents having high GCA may generate superior hybrid and such crosses may be exploitable following simple breeding methods like pedigree selection to get desirable segregants. The good and poor general combiners from superior hybrids could be due to dominant and recessive type interaction with non additive and non fixable genetic component. In such situation, random mating and selection among segregants could help to isolate transgressive segregants at later part of selection cycle. The parentage of the hybrid belonging to poor combining abilities may be due to interaction at higher order and found to be highly non fixable, but it could provide desirable segregants by adapting cyclic selection or biparental breeding strategies.

From the present investigation, under normal irrigated condition, 61 crosses and under drought 73 crosses showed significant desirable SCA effect of which under normal conditions these were grouped into 7 (high x high), 30 (high x poor) and 24 (poor x poor) and that under drought as 15(high x high), 37 (high x poor) and 21(poor x poor), respectively. The most important yield attributing characters indicating five important crosses and were highlighted under normal field condition viz., JRO8432 \times OIJ177, JRO3690 \times OIN791, JRO524 × OIJ177, OIN970 × OEX29 and OIJ214 \times OEX29 and in drought ondition the crosses were JRO8432 × OIJ177, JRO632 × OIN791, JRO632 \times OEX29, JRO524 \times OIJ177 and OIJ214 \times OEX29. Among crosses, JRO8432 × OIJ177, JRO524 × OIJ177 and OIJ214 \times OEX29 were common in both conditions. Of all the crosses, OIJ214 \times OEX29 was found as superior hybrid showing significant desirable SCA effect for a number of yield attributing characters in drought environment of field. Another important cross, JRO8432 × OIJ177 also showed significant SCA effect in the normal environment. Similar results were obtained by Kumar and Palve (1995), Alam and De (1995), Sengupta et al. (2005) and Khatun et al. (2010) who reported high SCA for fibre yield and its attributing traits.

Important crosses with their status in respect to general combining abilities of involving parents, specific combining abilities, average heterosis and heterobeltiosis for fibre yield and fibre quality under normal and drought condition

Six important crosses with respect to their general combining abilities of involving parents, specific combining abilities, average heterosis and heterobeltiosis for fibre yield in normal and drought condition are presented in Table 7 and 8. Under normal condition JRO8432 \times OIN791, JRO8432 \times OIJ177, JRO524 × OIJ177, OIJ214 × OIJ177, OIJ214 × OEX29 and JRO3690 × OIN791 and under drought condition such important crosses were JRO524 × OIJ177, JRO632 \times OEX29, OIN970 \times OIJ177, JRO8432 \times OIJ177, JRO3690 \times OIN791 and JRO8432 \times OEX29. Of these six crosses, the *per se* performance of JRO8432 \times OIJ177, JRO524 × OIJ177 and JRO3690 × OIN791 was found superior in both drought and normal irrigated environments. Under normal situation, only one cross JRO8432 \times OIJ177 had parents with high general combining abilities whereas in drought environment the above mentioned cross along with OIN970 \times OIJ177 involved parents with high general combining abilities and these crosses will help to isolate desirable segregants following simple breeding method. Under normal situation, remaining three crosses involved parents where one of them possessed high general combining abilities and other two crosses the parents have poor general combining abilities. In contrast, under drought condition significant desirable SCA effect was noticed in OIJ214 \times OEX29 and JRO3690 \times OIN791 which suggested that interaction of dominance and epistatic gene action was involved in expression of yield characters and complex breeding method like cyclic selection could be advocated for identification of desirable lines. The crosses JRO524 × OIJ177, JRO632 \times OEX29, JRO8432 \times OEX29 had significant SCA effect involving one of the parents with high general combining abilities and for which the dominant and additive gene action was found to be equally responsible in expression for this character. But, JRO3690 × OIN791 with high yield positive significant SCA effect involved poor x poor general combining parents and for which complex breeding method should be followed to obtain desirable line. All these crosses under normal environment failed to show heterobeltiosis but average heterosis was exhibited in two crosses JRO8432 \times OIN791 and JRO8432 × OIJ177. But in drought environments, all the crosses showed significant average heterosis and significant heterobeltiosis in JRO632 \times OEX29, OIN970 × OIJ177, JRO8432 × OIJ177, JRO8432 \times OEX29 and from these crosses high yielding stable segregants may be obtained from advance generation of selection. However, under drought

environment, JRO3690 \times OIN791 had shown negative heterobeltiosis, so this cross might not show equally potentiality to obtain high yielding segregants surpassing the *per se* performance of the hybrids.

Four important crosses with respect to their general combining abilities of involving parents, specific combining abilities, average heterosis and heterobeltiosis for fibre yield are presented in Table 9 and 10. JRO8432 × OIJ177, high yielding hybrid showed superior performance for fibre tenacity as well as fibre fineness under normal condition and this cross also showed superior performance for yield and fibre tenacity in drought environment. JRO3690 × OIN791 showed superior fibre tenacity in both the environment. High yielding hybrids $OIJ214 \times OEX29$ under normal environment was found superior for fibre fineness while OIN970 × OIJ177 was superior for both yield and fibre fineness in drought environment. Under normal environment, JRO3690 × OIN791, JRO524 × OIJ177 and OIJ214 \times OEX29 could be considered in combination breeding from their stable segregants to complement deficiency either for fibre tenacity or fibre fineness with an aim to develop high yielding jute with superior fibre quality. Similarly, all the four crosses as outlined in drought environments may be combined with their stable segregants to fulfil the same target.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very much thankful to the Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibres (CRIJAF), Barrackpore for providing germplasm for the research purpose.

REFERENCES

- Alam, S. and De, D.K. 1995. Combining ability analysis from a five parent half diallel cross in *Corchorus olitorius* L. *Indian J*. *Agric. Res.*, **29**: 203-208.
- Boamah, P. O., Owusu-Sekyere, J. D., Sam Amoah, L. K. and Anderson, B. 2011. Effects of irrigation interval on chlorophyll fluorescence of tomatoes under sprinkler. *Asian J. Agric. Res.*, 5: 83–89.
- Dhar, P., Ojha, D., Kar, C.S. and Mitra, J. 2018. Differential response of tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius*) submitted to water deficit stress. *Ind. Crop. Prod.*, **112**:141–150.
- Ghoshdastidar, K. K. and Das, P.K.1982. Combining ability and heterosis in white jute. *Indian J. Genetic*, 42:28-31.
- Ghoshdastidar, K.K. and Das, S. 2003. Genetic variability of resistance to premature flowering and its effects on the component characters contributing to fibre yield of tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). *J. Interacad.*, 7: 248-255.
- Jones, D.F. 1958. Plasmagenes and chromogenes in heterosis. In: Heterosis. Lowa State Univ. Press, Annes, Lowa, pp. 225-235.

- Khatun, R., Sarker, R.H. and Sobhan, M.A. 2010.Combining ability for yield and yield contributing characters of white jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.) *Bangladesh J. Bot.*, **39**: 79-85.
- Kumar, D. and Palve, S.M. 1995. Combining ability studies for yield and some of its attributes in white jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.). *Indian J.Genet. Plant Breed.*, **55**: 260-263.
- Kumar, D., Arpita D. and Sanjoy, S. 2011. Breeding for combining yield and fibre quality in white jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.) accessions. J. Tropic Agric., 49: 72-77.
- Kumar, D., Gupta, D. and Saha, A. 2002. Choice of parents and hybrids from line x tester crosses for improving fibre yield in jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). J. Interacad., 6: 406-411.
- Nayeem, R. A. and Dalvi, D. G. 1989. A rapid technique for obtaining leaf prints for stomatal count with fevicol. *Curr. Sci.*, **58**(11): 641.
- Palve, S.M. and Kumar, D. 1991. Diallel cross analysis for fibre yield and its components in tossa jute. *Ann. Agric. Res.*, **12**: 156-161.
- Palve, S.M. and Kumar, D.1994. Genetic analysis for fibre strength in tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). *Agric. Sci. Dig. Karnal*, 14: 83-86.
- Sawarkar A., Yumnam, S., Mukherjee, S. and Sarkar K.K. 2016. Effect of soil moisture stress against irrigated condition on Tossa jute. *Vegetos*,**29**:4.
- Sawarkar, A., Yumnam, S., Mukherjee, S. and Sarkar, K. 2015. Assessment of genetic variability, interrelationship and direct and indirect effect of seedling characters on fibre yield of jute under rainfed and irrigated condition. J. Crop Weed, 11: 78-85.
- Sengupta, D., Nandy, S., Mitra, S., Dutta, P., Sinha, M. K., Kole, P.C. and Chakraborty, S. 2005. Genetical study on tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) for fibre yield and quality parameters. *Indian J. Genet Plant Breed.*, 65: 29-32.
- Singh N. G. and Bandyapadhyay, S.B. 1968. An airflow method for the determination of the fibre fineness of jute and mesta. *J. Text. Inst.*, **59**: 148-156.
- Singh, D.P. 1973. Combining ability in jute. *Indian J. Genet.*, **33**: 77-81.
- Singh, K.B. and Gupta, V.P. 1969.Combining ability in wheat. *Indian J. Genet.*, **29**(2):227-232.
- Singh, R.K. and Chaudhury, B.D. 1985.Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani publishers, pp. 224-252.
- Yumnam, S., Sawarkar, A. and Mukherjee, S. 2017. Response to water stress on some seedling characters of tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). *J. Crop Weed.*, **13**, 135-143.

J. Crop and Weed, *19(1)*